

Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

MAIL LIST MODEL

Classification analysis was performed to predict the probability that an addressee on the 1992 mail list operated a farm, and thereby separated the preliminary mail list into probable farm and probable nonfarm classes. The analysis was used to reduce the preliminary census mail list of 3.78 million records to a final mail list size of 3.55 million records. All 3.55 million addresses on the final mail list received a census of agriculture report form.

Records from the 1987 final census mail list were used to build a 1992 prediction model for the 1992 analysis. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) software analyzed characteristics of known 1987 farm and nonfarm operations to determine which were most useful in predicting farm and nonfarm classes. Record characteristics such as the source of the mail list record, number of source lists on which the record appeared, expected value of agricultural sales, and geographic location were used to separate mail list records into model groups. (Sources included the previous agriculture census mail list, the Internal Revenue Service administrative records, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and special commodity lists.) The proportion of 1987 census farm records in each model group was calculated to provide an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

After the model groups were defined, each address record on the 1992 preliminary mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms according to the classification tree methodology. The model, followed by analyst reviews, was used to remove 229,700 records from the preliminary mail list (those in model groups with the lowest farm probability), and thereby designated the 3.55 million records with the highest farm probability to receive the census report form. This procedure was used to obtain a more complete census enumeration of farm operations without excessive respondent burden and data collection cost.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

Each of the 3.55 million name and address records on the census mail list was designated to receive one of three different types of census report forms. The three forms were the nonsample form, the screener form, and the

sample form. Sections 1 through 20 and 27 through 32 of the sample form are identical to sections on the nonsample form. The sample form, sections 21 through 26, contains additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income. The screener form is identical to the nonsample form with questions added in section 1 to allow quick identification of nonfarm addresses. These three different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Addresses were selected into the sample with certainty (1) if they were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) if they were multiunit operations (i.e., separate farms in more than one location), (3) if they had other special characteristics, or (4) if they were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1987. Other addresses in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and other addresses in counties containing 200 farms or more in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties. When a nonsample large farm was identified during processing, a supplemental form that contained the additional sample data inquiries was mailed.

To determine which mail list records would receive the screener form, all mail list records not designated for the sample were sorted by model group farm probability as specified by the mail list model. The 412,000 mail list records in the model groups with the lowest probability of being farms and with an expected total value of agricultural product sales less than \$25,000 were designated to receive the screener report form. The remaining mail list records received the nonsample report form.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1992 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures. These estimation procedures accounted for nonresponse to the data collection and for the sample data collection. These procedures are necessary because some farm operators never respond to

the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and the estimates for the sample data are based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

A statistical estimation procedure was used to account for nonrespondent farm operators to the census. We excluded large and unique farm operations that received intensive telephone followup during census processing, assuming complete response from them. A stratified systematic sample of remaining census nonrespondents were contacted by enumerators using a computer-assisted telephone interview system. Five sample strata were defined based on expected value of sales, previous census status, and whether the record was identified by the mail list model to receive the screener report form. The nonresponse survey telephone interview was designed to provide sufficient information to determine the farm status of each record.

In situations where the nonresponse survey case could not be contacted, the contact person refused to cooperate, or when no phone number could be obtained, a screener report form was sent by certified mail.

Estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents that operated farms were made for each stratum in the State using survey results and applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms for each county by stratum was then derived. This estimation procedure is based on the assumption that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county is the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Certain census respondent farms which exhibited "rare" commodities were designated as "ineligible" to represent census nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting operation. The procedure explained below was performed with only the eligible respondent cases: Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms to the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight was never greater than 2.0. The noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final weight for the sample items. The noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record for tabulating the complete count items for publication.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in these tables are the percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided

in these tables do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of item nonresponse is discussed in the Census Nonsampling Error section.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1992

Item	Percent of total
Farms number	15.0
Land in farms acres	6.6
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ \$1,000	3.4
Market value of agricultural products sold \$1,000	4.5
Harvested cropland acres	8.6
Corn for grain or seed acres	8.3
Wheat for grain acres	8.7
Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Cattle and calves number	4.7
Hogs and pigs number	6.8
Hens and pullets of laying age number2

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimates the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. The estimates were obtained from a ratio estimation procedure that resulted in the assignment of a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records in the county.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm would be multiplied by 6. The weight assigned to a sample certainty farm was 1.

Other than certainty farms, within a county, the ratio estimation procedure for farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1992 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. Both the second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were as follows:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure was to classify the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial post strata formed by the three sets of groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample weight equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This weight was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure was to combine, if necessary, the 32 initial post strata to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms after nonresponse adjustment or had a weight greater than two times the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2 or 6, depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2 or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the initial 32 post strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each of the final post strata and were used to calculate final sample weights.

The final step consisted of assigning the noninteger final post stratum weight to the sample farm records in each post stratum. The weight is the ratio of total farm count to sample farm count in each final post stratum. The noninteger sample weight, the product of the noninteger final post stratum weight and the nonresponse weight, was randomly rounded to an integer weight for tabulation. If, for example, the final weight for the farms in a particular post stratum was 7.2, then 0.2 or one-fifth of the sample farms in this post stratum were randomly assigned a weight of 8 and the remaining four-fifths received a weight of 7.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1992 Census of Agriculture is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Sample refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive the sample report forms. Estimates derived from all the possible samples would differ from each other only by random variation.

The standard error or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and thus is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as 100 times the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples were surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary for producing a confidence interval for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is .1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94). If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the figure obtained from a complete enumeration. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. Complete count items were asked of all farm operators. Examples of complete count items were land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Sample count items were asked only of a sample of farm operators. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income.

Variability, measured as percent relative standard error, in the estimates of complete count items is due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. Variability in the estimates of sample count items is due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Thus, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county reporting complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard error for estimated number of farms in a county reporting a complete count item and the bottom half a sample count item. These are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used for complete count items and sample count items. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for all counties in the State. For sample count items, only data

from counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 6 are used in the estimation of the regression equation.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1992

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	6.0
50	4.1
75	3.3
100	2.8
150	2.1
200	1.7
300	1.1
500	.8
750	.7
1,000	.6
1,500	.5
2,000	(X)
SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	29.7
50	21.8
75	18.4
100	16.5
150	14.3
200	13.1
300	11.7
500	10.5
750	9.8
1,000	9.5
1,500	9.1
2,000	(X)

To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1987 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count item estimates comes only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures; thus, the estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the percent standard error for percent change in State totals from 1987 to 1992. The general

purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1992 and the 1987 estimate for that characteristic to the 1987 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The percent standard error of a percent change estimate, then, is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in (1) the total number of farms, (2) the number of large farms included with certainty, (3) the size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) the amount of nonresponse, (5) the general agricultural characteristics, and (6) the specific characteristic being measured.

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts are affected jointly by sampling errors, described in the previous section, and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures on specific operations. Nonsampling errors arise from incompleteness of the census mail list, duplication in the mail list, incorrect data reporting, errors in editing of reported data, and errors in imputation for missing data. These specific nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Evaluation studies will be conducted to measure the extent of certain nonsampling errors such as coverage error and classification error.

Census Coverage

The main objective of the census of agriculture is to obtain a complete and accurate enumeration of U.S. farms with accurate data on all aspects of the agricultural operation. However, the high cost and availability of resources for enumeration place restrictions on feasible data collection methodologies. The past six agriculture censuses have been conducted by mail enumeration with telephone contact for selected nonrespondents. The completeness of such an enumeration thus depends to a large extent on the coverage of farm operations by the census mail list.

The past five censuses of agriculture have included approximately 91 percent of farms in the United States and approximately 96 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by fluctuations in agricultural operations qualifying for enumeration, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used

by an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, the accuracy of data reporting, and other factors. A new mail list is compiled for each census because no current single list of agricultural operations is comprehensive.

An evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. The evaluation provides estimates of the completeness of census farm count and major census data items. In addition, the evaluation helps to identify problems in the census enumeration and provide information that can form the basis for improvements. The results of the 1992 Coverage Evaluation program will be published in volume 2, Subject Series (Part 2): Coverage Evaluation.

The evaluation of coverage for the 1992 census was designed to measure four components of error in the census mail list and in farm classification. Mail list error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms not on the census mail list (undercount) and a measurement of farms enumerated more than once in the census (overcount). Classification error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms classified as nonfarms in the census (undercount) and of nonfarms classified as farms in the census (overcount). Classification error arises from reporting and processing errors. Mail list undercount dominates all coverage errors. Net coverage error is defined as the difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. Measurements of these errors, as well as a description of the complete coverage program, will be available in the Coverage Evaluation report.

Mail List Coverage

A major problem with mail enumeration for the census of agriculture is the difficulty encountered in compiling a complete mail list. The percentage of farms included on the census mail list varies considerably by State. Several reasons have contributed to farm operator names not being included on the census mail list—the operation may have been started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any of the agriculture-related source lists used in compiling the census list, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout. A large proportion of the farms not included on the mail list are small in both acres and sales of agricultural products.

The 1992 Census of Agriculture Coverage Evaluation used the area segment sample of the 1992 June Agricultural Survey (JAS) of the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS) to estimate farms not on the census mail list. The Census Bureau contracted with NASS to augment the JAS data collection. The survey data collected by NASS will be protected under the confidentiality of title 13, U.S. Code. These JAS survey records were matched to the census mail list. Records that did not match were mailed a census of agriculture report form to estimate mail list

coverage. Estimates of farms not on the census mail list are computed using a capture-recapture dual frame estimator which will be described in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Table G provides coverage evaluation estimates for one component of coverage error associated with the census of agriculture; that is, the error due to farms not on the census mail list. Also provided are estimates of selected characteristics of farms not on the mail list, estimates of characteristics of farms not on the mail list as a percentage of total farms in the State, and the percent relative standard error associated with each estimate. The estimate of total farms in the State is based on census farm count plus the estimated number of farms not on the census mail list. This estimate of total farms in the State was not adjusted for the components of error associated with classification and list duplication error. Estimates of these errors will be made at the regional, rather than the State level, and will be provided in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the mailed census report form or to the questions posed by a telephone enumerator introduce error into the census data. Such incorrect information can lead, in some cases, to incorrect classification of farms. This type of reporting error is measured by the Classification Error Survey discussed later in this section. To reduce all types of reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each addressee. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on tests of the census report form and each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency.

Item Nonresponse

As information flows from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses are identified on the report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the report form that logically should be present may create a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. When information from reporting farms is used to edit or impute for item nonresponse, the data may be biased due to characteristics of the nonreporting respondents differing from those reporting the item. Any attempt to correct the data items may not completely reflect this difference either at the element level (individual farm operation) or on the average.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each report form are sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the report forms includes clerical screening for farm activity, computerized check-in of report forms and follow-up of nonrespondents, keying and transmittal of

completed report forms, computerized editing of inconsistent and missing data, review and correction of individual records referred from the computer edit, review and correction of tabulated data, and electronic data processing. These operations undergo a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible, yet some errors are not detected and corrected.

Classification Error

An evaluation study of classification errors was conducted in the 1992 Census of Agriculture as part of the census coverage evaluation program. A sample of census mail list respondents was selected, and these addresses were reenumerated to determine whether they were a farm or nonfarm. A farm status determination was made based on the evaluation report form and compared with the census farm status which was based on the data reported on the report form. Differences in status were reconciled.

In past censuses, the proportion of farms undercounted due to classification errors was higher for farms with small values of sales. For the 1987 census, the classification error rate was higher for (1) farms with small values of sales, (2) farms with a small number of acres, (3) full-owner farms than part-owner or tenant farms, (4) operators with principal occupation other than farming, and (5) males than females. Results from the 1992 Classification Error Survey will be published in the Coverage Evaluation report.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The Census of Agriculture Complex Edit and Imputation System performs the following functions:

- Ensuring reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, and combinations of commodities.
- Ensuring necessary consistencies are present. There are more than 70 distinct consistency requirements.
- Ensuring geographic, legal, and physical constraints are met.

The system must perform these and similar functions for 900 data keycodes for sample records and 850 data keycodes for nonsample records.

For the 1992 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships were assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several standard industrial classification and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State. The computer records were sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for some sections of the report form was processed by the computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions.

After the initial computer edit, keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data were keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for about 25 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record was reedited.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS						
Farms ----- number	52 923	1.4				
Land in farms ----- acres	44 393 129	.7				
Average size of farm ----- acres	839	1.6				
M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD						
Total sales (see text) ----- farms	52 923	1.4				
\$1,000-----	8 209 691	.5				
Average per farm ----- dollars	155 125	1.5				
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 (see text) ----- farms	1 979	1.5				
\$1,000-----	512	1.8				
\$1,000 to \$2,499 ----- farms	1 987	1.5				
\$1,000-----	3 339	1.5				
\$2,500 to \$4,999 ----- farms	2 664	1.6				
\$1,000-----	9 721	1.6				
\$5,000 to \$9,999 ----- farms	4 021	1.7				
\$1,000-----	29 582	1.7				
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms	6 076	1.9				
\$1,000-----	88 474	1.9				
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms	2 369	2.1				
\$1,000-----	52 876	2.1				
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms	5 466	2.1				
\$1,000-----	174 997	2.1				
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms	2 896	2.0				
\$1,000-----	129 464	2.0				
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms	9 274	1.9				
\$1,000-----	672 593	1.9				
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms	10 850	1.2				
\$1,000-----	1 695 837	1.0				
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms	3 573	—				
\$1,000-----	1 198 962	—				
\$500,000 or more ----- farms	1 768	—				
\$1,000-----	4 153 335	—				
Sales by commodity or commodity group:						
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	39 104	1.5				
\$1,000-----	2 651 484	.9				
Grains ----- farms	36 185	1.5				
\$1,000-----	2 463 877	.9				
Corn for grain ----- farms	26 755	1.5				
\$1,000-----	1 611 809	.9				
Wheat ----- farms	12 566	1.4				
\$1,000-----	159 395	.9				
Soybeans ----- farms	20 646	1.5				
\$1,000-----	426 981	1.0				
Sorghum for grain ----- farms	9 673	1.6				
\$1,000-----	183 187	1.3				
Barley ----- farms	97	2.8				
\$1,000-----	440	4.0				
Oats ----- farms	2 747	1.7				
\$1,000-----	7 306	1.5				
Other grains ----- farms	2 798	1.3				
\$1,000-----	74 761	.7				
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms	—	—				
\$1,000-----	—	—				
Tobacco ----- farms	—	—				
\$1,000-----	—	—				
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms	12 205	1.5				
\$1,000-----	99 500	1.1				
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms	235	2.6				
\$1,000-----	2 025	4.9				
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms	77	3.9				
\$1,000-----	397	7.6				
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	234	2.1				
\$1,000-----	15 172	1.4				
Other crops ----- farms	708	1.5				
\$1,000-----	70 512	.7				
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms	36 578	1.3				
\$1,000-----	5 558 208	.3				
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms	1 059	1.6				
\$1,000-----	90 370	.1				
Dairy products ----- farms	1 609	1.5				
\$1,000-----	130 384	.9				
Cattle and calves ----- farms	30 696	1.4				
\$1,000-----	4 565 778	.2				
Hogs and pigs ----- farms	11 559	1.4				
\$1,000-----	746 165	.7				
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms	2 291	1.5				
\$1,000-----	11 628	1.4				
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms	1 907	1.3				
\$1,000-----	13 882	2.1				
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms	1 000	1.7				
\$1,000-----	2 169	2.1				
F FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹						
Total farm production expenses ----- farms	52 920	1.4				
\$1,000-----	6 711 544	.5				
Average per farm ----- dollars	126 824	1.5				
Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms	22 055	1.7				
\$1,000-----	2 502 060	.3				
Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms	31 853	1.6				
\$1,000-----	1 086 234	.5				
Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms	14 118	2.0				
\$1,000-----	260 211	1.0				
Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms	39 138	1.6				
\$1,000-----	211 555	1.1				
Commercial fertilizer ----- farms	37 794	1.6				
\$1,000-----	344 363	1.1				
Agricultural chemicals ----- farms	35 592	1.6				
\$1,000-----	202 392	1.2				
Petroleum products ----- farms	50 701	1.5				
\$1,000-----	288 400	1.1				
Electricity ----- farms	43 372	1.5				
\$1,000-----	95 895	1.1				
Hired farm labor ----- farms	19 889	1.6				
\$1,000-----	254 132	.6				
Contract labor ----- farms	5 622	2.9				
\$1,000-----	18 344	3.5				
Repair and maintenance ----- farms	47 373	1.5				
\$1,000-----	329 031	1.1				
Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms	26 103	1.8				
\$1,000-----	103 389	2.1				
Interest expense ----- farms	33 420	1.6				
\$1,000-----	380 597	1.1				
Secured by real estate ----- farms	21 600	1.7				
\$1,000-----	212 943	1.5				
Not secured by real estate ----- farms	22 436	1.8				
\$1,000-----	167 654	1.1				
Cash rent ----- farms	19 285	1.8				
\$1,000-----	261 509	1.5				
Property taxes ----- farms	47 454	1.4				
\$1,000-----	182 849	1.2				
All other farm production expenses ----- farms	50 950	1.5				
\$1,000-----	450 793	.9				
NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹						
All farms ----- number	52 920	1.4				
\$1,000-----	1 462 607	1.0				
Average per farm ----- dollars	27 638	1.8				
Farms with net gains ² ----- number	36 454	1.6				
\$1,000-----	1 678 317	.9				
Average net gain ----- dollars	46 039	1.8				
Farms with net losses ----- number	16 466	2.0				
\$1,000-----	215 710	1.8				
Average net loss ----- dollars	13 100	2.7				
GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME						
Government payments ----- farms	32 605	1.5				
\$1,000-----	313 355	1.0				
Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms	18 397	1.9				
\$1,000-----	124 059	2.9				
Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms	6 031	3.0				
\$1,000-----	54 146	4.7				
Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms	6 874	3.0				
\$1,						

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE							
Total cropland	farms--	46 348	All operators	farms--	52 923		
	acres--	22 402 132		acres--	44 393 129		
Harvested cropland	farms--	43 879	Full owners	farms--	21 477		
	acres--	16 146 818		acres--	9 744 398		
Farms by acres harvested:			Part owners	farms--	21 030		
1 to 9 acres	farms--	1 484		acres--	28 018 383		
	acres--	7 277	Tenants	farms--	10 416		
10 to 19 acres	farms--	1 367		acres--	6 630 348		
	acres--	18 363					
20 to 29 acres	farms--	1 049	OWNED AND RENTED LAND				
	acres--	24 469	Land owned	farms--	43 127		
30 to 49 acres	farms--	2 057		acres--	27 098 781		
	acres--	78 613	Owned land in farms	farms--	42 507		
50 to 99 acres	farms--	4 733		acres--	23 888 305		
	acres--	343 478	Land rented or leased from others	farms--	31 676		
100 to 199 acres	farms--	8 045		acres--	20 855 443		
	acres--	1 155 668	Rented or leased land in farms	landlords--	73 951		
200 to 499 acres	farms--	14 075		farms--	31 446		
	acres--	4 581 246	Rented or leased to others	acres--	20 504 824		
500 to 999 acres	farms--	8 230					
	acres--	5 601 640					
1,000 acres or more	farms--	2 839					
	acres--	4 336 064					
Cropland:							
Pasture or grazing only	farms--	16 696	OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS				
	acres--	2 586 353	Operators by place of residence:				
Other cropland	farms--	27 957	On farm operated		36 444		
	acres--	3 668 961	Not on farm operated		12 539		
Total woodland	farms--	6 914	Not reported		3 940		
	acres--	442 278					
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and			Operators by principal occupation:				
woodland pastured	farms--	21 554	Farming		39 123		
	acres--	20 557 073	Other		13 800		
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc.	farms--	32 247					
	acres--	991 646	Operators by days worked off farm:				
Irrigated land	farms--	19 328	Any		20 920		
	acres--	6 311 633	200 days or more		11 927		
Acres irrigated:							
1 to 9 acres	farms--	414	Operators by sex:				
	acres--	1 592	Male	farms--	50 681		
10 to 49 acres	farms--	1 524		acres--	42 503 649		
	acres--	45 738	Female	farms--	2 242		
50 to 99 acres	farms--	2 437		acres--	1 889 480		
	acres--	175 825	Average age of operator	years--	50.7		
100 to 199 acres	farms--	4 526			2.0		
	acres--	633 382	F FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION				
200 to 499 acres	farms--	6 528	Individual or family (sole proprietorship)	farms--	44 577		
	acres--	2 077 920		acres--	30 366 655		
500 to 999 acres	farms--	3 010	Partnership	farms--	4 604		
	acres--	2 027 669		acres--	5 498 597		
1,000 acres or more	farms--	889	Corporation:				
	acres--	1 349 507	Family held	farms--	3 192		
Harvested cropland irrigated	farms--	19 143		acres--	7 436 262		
	acres--	6 221 357	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	61		
Pasture and other land irrigated	farms--	948	10 or less stockholders	farms--	3 131		
	acres--	90 276					
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:			Other than family held	farms--	238		
Diverted under annual commodity programs	farms--	23 561		acres--	353 881		
	acres--	495 032	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	32		
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve	farms--	8 083	10 or less stockholders	farms--	206		
Programs	acres--	989 126					
			Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc.	farms--	312		
				acres--	737 734		
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹							
Estimated market value of land and buildings	farms--	52 920	Hired Farm Labor				
\$1,000--		1.4	Hired workers by days worked:				
Average per farm	dollars--	22 712 646	150 days or more	farms--	8 375		
Average per acre	dollars--	429 188		workers--	16 722		
		514	Less than 150 days	farms--	17 424		
				workers--	46 679		
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹							
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment	farms--	52 825	INJURIES AND DEATHS				
\$1,000--		1.4	Farm-related injuries:				
Average per farm	dollars--	3 651 286	Operator and family members	farms--	663		
		69 120		number--	744		
			Hired workers	farms--	302		
				number--	626		
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹							
Commercial fertilizer	farms--	37 773	Farm-related deaths:				
acres on which used--		11 811 687	Operator and family members	farms--	8		
				number--	9		
			Hired workers	farms--	4		
				number--	4		

See footnotes at end of table.

C-8 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
F FARMS BY SIZE						
1 to 9 acres	farms-- acres--	3 698 1.5	Cattle and calves inventory	farms-- number--	30 421 5 952 880	
10 to 49 acres	farms-- acres--	10 543 1.5	Beef cows	farms-- number--	24 270 1 857 347	
50 to 69 acres	farms-- acres--	111 047 1.4	Milk cows	farms-- number--	2 122 83 295	
70 to 99 acres	farms-- acres--	1 074 1.9	Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	30 696 6 238 779	
100 to 139 acres	farms-- acres--	63 049 2 510	Hogs and pigs inventory	farms-- number--	\$1,000-- 4 565 778	
140 to 179 acres	farms-- acres--	1 913 223 716	Hogs and pigs sold	farms-- number--	10 826 4 187 389	
180 to 219 acres	farms-- acres--	3 911 618 754	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms-- number--	2 185 151 777	
220 to 259 acres	farms-- acres--	1 659 327 247	Sheep and lambs sold	farms-- number--	1.5 2 261 175 516	
260 to 499 acres	farms-- acres--	2 148 511 609	Horses and ponies inventory	farms-- number--	1.5 7 275 35 757	
500 to 999 acres	farms-- acres--	10 196 3 792 784	Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--	1.9 1 386 5 330	
1,000 to 1,999 acres	farms-- acres--	10 966 7 743 923	POULTRY			
2,000 acres or more	farms-- acres--	6 283 8 563 860	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms-- number--	1 993 (D)	
		8 4263 22 223 936	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms-- number--	1 967 6 527 412	
F FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION						
Cash grains (011)	farms-- acres--	24 517 16 620 013	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms-- number--	289 1 887 881	
Field crops, except cash grains (013)	farms-- acres--	1 931 986 848	CROPS HARVESTED			
Vegetables and melons (016)	farms-- acres--	57 3 763	Corn for grain or seed	farms-- acres--	29 679 7 235 528	
Fruits and tree nuts (017)	farms-- acres--	3 763 52	Corn for silage or green chop	farms-- acres--	.9 930 758 282	
Horticultural specialties (018)	farms-- acres--	2 115 190	Sorghum for grain or seed	farms-- acres--	.9 3 950 195 029	
General farms, primarily crop (019)	farms-- acres--	10 996 940	Wheat for grain	farms-- acres--	.6 2 960 555	
Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021)	farms-- acres--	567 536 22 723	Oats for grain	farms-- acres--	.6 1 412 747	
Dairy farms (024)	farms-- acres--	25 026 215 901	Soybeans for beans	farms-- acres--	.9 122 513 083	
Poultry and eggs (025)	farms-- acres--	445 219 119	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas	farms-- acres--	.9 2 274 494	
Animal specialties (027)	farms-- acres--	28 230 127 057	Irish potatoes	farms-- acres--	.9 88 842 343	
General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029)	farms-- acres--	816 677	Sugar beets for sugar	farms-- acres--	.9 1 301 163 026	
		575 137	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	farms-- acres--	.9 27 433 2 895 217	
			Alfalfa hay	farms-- acres--	.9 6 068 201 22 137	
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)	farms-- acres--	.9 1 270 921 4 025 983	
					.9 236 2 250	

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)			
F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS								
Farms ----- number	42 272	1.5	Total farm production expenses ----- farms	42 327	1.5			
Land in farms ----- acres	42 581 468	.7	\$1,000-----	6 636 150	.5			
Average size of farm ----- acres	1 007	1.6	Average per farm ----- dollars	156 783	1.6			
M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD								
Total sales (see text) ----- farms	42 272	1.5	Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms	19 591	1.7			
Average per farm ----- \$1,000	8 166 538	.5	\$1,000-----	2 491 021	.3			
Average per farm ----- dollars	193 190	1.6	Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms	27 101	1.6			
Farms by value of sales:			Commercial mixed formula feeds ----- farms	1 077 940	.5			
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms	6 076	1.9	\$1,000-----	12 432	2.0			
\$1,000-----	88 474	1.9	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms	258 625	1.0			
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms	2 369	2.1	\$1,000-----	35 053	1.6			
\$1,000-----	52 876	2.1	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms	209 764	1.1			
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms	5 466	2.1	\$1,000-----	34 064	1.6			
\$1,000-----	174 997	2.1	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms	340 912	1.1			
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms	2 896	2.0	\$1,000-----	31 764	1.6			
\$1,000-----	129 464	2.0	Petroleum products ----- farms	200 280	1.2			
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms	9 274	1.9	\$1,000-----	41 466	1.5			
\$1,000-----	672 593	1.9	Electricity ----- farms	281 666	1.1			
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms	10 850	1.2	\$1,000-----	37 165	1.5			
\$1,000-----	1 695 837	1.0	Hired farm labor ----- farms	93 694	1.1			
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms	3 573	—	\$1,000-----	18 174	1.6			
\$1,000-----	1 198 962	—	Contract labor ----- farms	253 081	.6			
\$500,000 or more ----- farms	1 768	—	\$1,000-----	5 055	2.9			
\$1,000-----	4 153 335	—	Repair and maintenance ----- farms	17 854	3.5			
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			\$1,000-----	39 692	1.5			
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	34 550	1.5	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms	320 206	1.1			
\$1,000-----	2 633 635	.9	\$1,000-----	22 986	1.8			
Grains ----- farms	33 044	1.5	Interest expense ----- farms	100 945	2.1			
\$1,000-----	2 450 364	.9	\$1,000-----	29 691	1.6			
Corn for grain ----- farms	25 473	1.5	Secured by real estate ----- farms	373 021	1.1			
\$1,000-----	1 607 274	.9	\$1,000-----	19 311	1.7			
Wheat ----- farms	11 504	1.4	Not secured by real estate ----- farms	207 543	1.5			
\$1,000-----	156 517	.9	\$1,000-----	20 458	1.8			
Soybeans ----- farms	19 684	1.5	\$1,000-----	165 478	1.1			
\$1,000-----	424 346	1.0						
Sorghum for grain ----- farms	8 753	1.6	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹					
\$1,000-----	180 180	1.3	All farms ----- number	42 327	1.5			
Barley ----- farms	90	2.8	\$1,000-----	1 494 852	1.0			
\$1,000-----	421	4.1	Average per farm ----- dollars	35 317	1.8			
Oats ----- farms	2 562	1.7						
\$1,000-----	7 114	1.5	Farms with net gains ² ----- number	32 834	1.6			
Other grains ----- farms	2 698	1.3	\$1,000-----	1 670 639	.9			
\$1,000-----	74 511	.7	Average net gain ----- dollars	50 881	1.8			
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms	—	—	Farms with net losses ----- number	9 493	2.6			
\$1,000-----	—	—	\$1,000-----	175 788	2.0			
Tobacco ----- farms	—	—	Average net loss ----- dollars	18 518	3.3			
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms	10 427	1.5						
\$1,000-----	95 602	1.1	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME					
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms	164	3.0	Government payments ----- farms	29 099	1.4			
\$1,000-----	1 949	5.1	\$1,000-----	298 654	.9			
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms	35	5.5	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms	15 676	2.0			
\$1,000-----	320	9.1	\$1,000-----	112 019	3.0			
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	160	2.5	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms	5 565	3.1			
\$1,000-----	14 911	1.4	\$1,000-----	52 971	4.7			
Other crops ----- farms	689	1.5	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms	5 184	3.3			
\$1,000-----	70 489	.7	\$1,000-----	49 851	4.0			
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms	30 258	1.4	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms	104	18.3			
\$1,000-----	5 532 903	.3	\$1,000-----	357	15.2			
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms	721	1.8	Other farm-related income sources ----- farms	8 926	2.5			
\$1,000-----	90 167	.1	\$1,000-----	8 840	6.2			
Dairy products ----- farms	1 584	1.5						
\$1,000-----	130 275	.9						
Cattle and calves ----- farms	26 70	1.4						
\$1,000-----	4 547 375	.2						
Hogs and pigs ----- farms	10 421	1.5						
\$1,000-----	742 251	.7						
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms	1 502	1.7						
\$1,000-----	10 364	1.5						
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms	1 154	1.4						
\$1,000-----	12 471	2.3						
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms	670	1.9	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS					
\$1,000-----	1 838	2.3	Total ----- farms	7 505	1.4			
			\$1,000-----	254 460	.8			

See footnotes at end of table.

C-10 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE						
Total cropland	farms-- acres--	38 916 21 597 596	1.5 1.0	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) farms-- acres--	34 908 28 973 244	1.5 1.8
Harvested cropland	farms-- acres--	37 931 15 880 267	1.5 .9	Partnership-- farms-- acres--	3 927 5 349 584	1.7 .6
Cropland:				Corporation:		
Pasture or grazing only	farms-- acres--	13 972 2 355 689	1.6 1.4	Family held farms-- acres--	3 002 7 351 483	1.0 .2
Total woodland	farms-- acres--	5 540 388 322	1.5 1.0	More than 10 stockholders farms-- 10 or less stockholders farms--	.55 2 947	2.3 1.0
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured	farms-- acres--	17 578 19 687 014	1.3 .3	Other than family held farms-- acres--	208 350 504	1.6 .5
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc.	farms-- acres--	26 110 908 536	1.5 1.0	More than 10 stockholders farms-- 10 or less stockholders farms--	25 183	2.4 1.8
Irrigated land	farms-- acres--	18 491 6 279 149	1.4 .8	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc. farms-- acres--	227 556 653	2.4 .5
Harvested cropland irrigated	farms-- acres--	18 404 6 199 753	1.4 .8			
Pasture and other land irrigated	farms-- acres--	800 79 396	1.5 1.4			
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:						
Diverted under annual commodity programs	farms-- acres--	22 667 491 671	1.4 .9	Hired workers by days worked:		
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs	farms-- acres--	6 602 810 682	1.4 1.1	150 days or more farms-- workers--	7 734 16 079	1.8 1.1
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹						
Estimated market value of land and buildings	farms-- \$1,000--	42 327 21 640 277	1.5 1.0	Less than 150 days farms-- workers--	15 733 43 635	1.8 2.2
Average per farm	dollars--	511 264	1.8			
Average per acre	dollars--	509	1.3			
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹						
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment	farms-- \$1,000--	42 327 3 505 731	1.5 1.1			
Average per farm	dollars--	82 825	1.9			
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹						
Commercial fertilizer	farms-- acres on which used--	34 052 11 659 253	1.6 1.1			
TENURE OF OPERATOR						
All operators	farms-- acres--	42 272 42 581 468	1.5 .7			
Full owners	farms-- acres--	13 772 8 710 187	1.7 .9			
Part owners	farms-- acres--	19 889	1.2			
Tenants	farms-- acres--	27 633 630 8 611	.5 2.0			
		6 237 651	1.1			
OWNED AND RENTED LAND						
Land owned	farms-- acres--	34 158 25 151 404	1.4 .7			
Owned land in farms	farms-- acres--	33 661 22 652 211	1.4 .6			
Land rented or leased from others	farms-- acres-- landlords--	28 670 20 250 467 69 577	1.4 .7 1.2			
Rented or leased land in farms	farms-- acres--	28 500 19 929 257	1.4 .7			
Land rented or leased to others	farms-- acres--	6 628 2 820 403	1.5 1.3			
OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS						
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated		30 072	1.4	Cattle and calves inventory farms-- number--	25 620 5 833 199	1.4 .5
Not on farm operated		9 332	1.9	Beef cows farms-- number--	20 510 1 803 035	1.5 7
Not reported		2 868	1.3	Milk cows farms-- number--	1 949 82 945	1.5 1.0
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming		34 926	1.4	Cattle and calves sold farms-- number--	26 170 6 195 459	1.4 .3
Other		7 346	2.0	\$1,000--	4 547 375	.2
Operators by days worked off farm:						
Any		14 260	1.9	Hogs and pigs inventory farms-- number--	9 850 4 147 129	1.5 .7
200 days or more		6 868	2.0	Hogs and pigs sold farms-- number--	10 421 8 347 957	1.5 .7
Operators by sex:						
Male		40 886	1.5	\$1,000--	742 251	.7
Female		1 386	1.8			
Average age of operator	years--	50.3	2.1			
See footnotes at end of table.						
FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION						
Individual or family (sole proprietorship)	farms-- acres--	34 908 28 973 244	1.5 .8			
Partnership	farms-- acres--	3 927 5 349 584	1.7 .6			
Corporation:						
Family held	farms-- acres--	3 002 7 351 483	1.0 .2			
More than 10 stockholders	farms-- acres--	.55 2 947	2.3 1.0			
10 or less stockholders	farms-- acres--	2 947 556 653	2.4 .5			
Other than family held	farms-- acres--	208 556 653	1.6 2.4			
More than 10 stockholders	farms-- acres--	25 183	2.4 1.8			
10 or less stockholders	farms-- acres--	183 43 635	2.2			
HIRED FARM LABOR						
Hired workers by days worked:						
150 days or more farms-- workers--	7 734 16 079	1.8 1.1				
Less than 150 days farms-- workers--	15 733 43 635	1.8 2.2				
INJURIES AND DEATHS						
Farm-related injuries:						
Operator and family members farms-- number--	595 670	1.6 1.5				
Hired workers farms-- number--	299 623	.8 .5				
Farm-related deaths:						
Operator and family members farms-- number--	6 (D)	6.2 (D)				
Hired workers farms-- number--	4 (D)	12.5 (D)				
FARMS BY SIZE						
1 to 9 acres						
10 to 49 acres						
50 to 69 acres						
70 to 99 acres						
100 to 139 acres						
140 to 179 acres						
180 to 219 acres						
220 to 259 acres						
260 to 499 acres						
500 to 999 acres						
1,000 to 1,999 acres						
2,000 acres or more						
FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION						
Cash grains (011)						
Field crops, except cash grains (013)						
Vegetables and melons (016)						
Fruits and tree nuts (017)						
Horticultural specialties (018)						
General farms, primarily crop (019)						
Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021)						
Dairy farms (024)						
Poultry and eggs (025)						
Animal specialties (027)						
General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029)						
LIVESTOCK						
Cattle and calves inventory	farms-- number--					
Beef cows	farms-- number--					
Milk cows	farms-- number--					
Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--					
\$1,000--						
Hogs and pigs inventory	farms-- number--					
Hogs and pigs sold	farms-- number--					
Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms-- number--					
Sheep and lambs sold	farms-- number--					
Horses and ponies inventory	farms-- number--					
Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--					

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
POULTRY					
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms--	1 328	Oats for grain	farms--	4 931
number--	(D)	1.7	acres--	170 781	1.6
Hens and pullets of laying age	farms--	1 311	bushels--	11 090 308	1.4
number--	(L)	1.8	Soybeans for beans	farms--	19 715
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms--	185	acres--	2 255 432	1.5
number--	1 861 951	2.4	bushels--	88 233 149	1.1
CROPS HARVESTED					
Corn for grain or seed	farms--	28 233	Dry edible beans, excluding dry limas	farms--	1 278
acres--	7 197 626	1.5	acres--	162 387	1.3
bushels--	927 659 316	.9	cwt--	2 755 526	.9
Corn for silage or green chop	farms--	3 877	Irish potatoes	farms--	80
acres--	193 705	1.1	acres--	13 000	3.1
tons, green--	2 947 884	.6	Sugar beets for sugar	farms--	607
acres--	9 542	1.6	acres--	85 799	1.6
Sorghum for grain or seed	farms--	1 380 104	tons--	1 515 447	.9
bushels--	120 404 925	1.3	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass	farms--	23 854
farms--	11 592	1.4	silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	acres--	2 776 811
Wheat for grain	farms--	1 749 115	.6	tons, dry--	5 884 937
acres--	52 456 451	.9	Alfalfa hay	farms--	19 605
bushels--		.9	acres--	1 214 859	1.4
			.6	tons, dry--	3 909 101
				farms--	165
				acres--	2 119
					5.0

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1987 to 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate
Farms-----number--	-12.5	1.5	-9.8	1.6
Land in farms -----acres--	-2.0	.8	-1.1	.8
Average size of farm -----acres--	12.0	2.1	9.7	2.2
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :				
Average per farm -----dollars--	24.7	2.7	22.4	2.7
Average per acre -----dollars--	12.5	1.9	12.6	1.9
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :				
Average per farm -----dollars--	17.6	2.7	16.0	2.7
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres -----farms--	-27.3	1.4	-15.4	2.2
10 to 49 acres -----farms--	.1	1.8	16.1	2.7
50 to 179 acres -----farms--	-15.7	1.8	-5.4	2.3
180 to 499 acres -----farms--	-19.2	1.8	-19.3	1.9
500 to 999 acres -----farms--	-9.8	1.6	-10.2	1.6
1,000 to 1,999 acres -----farms--	-3.2	1.2	-3.3	1.2
2,000 acres or more -----farms--	7.0	—	7.8	—
Total cropland -----farms--	-13.4	1.5	-10.9	1.6
acres--	-3.9	1.2	-3.2	1.2
Harvested cropland -----farms--	-14.3	1.4	-11.3	1.6
acres--	5.7	1.2	7.0	1.2
Irrigated land -----farms--	-14.5	1.4	-13.5	1.4
acres--	11.1	1.2	11.4	1.2
Market value of agricultural products sold -----\$1,000--	23.1	.8	23.6	.8
Average per farm -----dollars--	40.8	2.5	37.1	2.6
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops -----\$1,000--	24.0	1.4	24.8	1.4
Livestock, poultry, and their products -----\$1,000--	22.8	.5	23.0	.5
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500 -----	-15.5	1.2	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999 -----	-21.8	1.5	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999 -----	-27.1	1.5	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999 -----	-22.7	1.8	-22.7	1.8
\$25,000 to \$49,999 -----	-21.7	1.9	-21.7	1.9
\$50,000 to \$99,999 -----	-18.0	1.8	-18.0	1.8
\$100,000 to \$249,999 -----	6.5	1.5	6.5	1.5
\$250,000 to \$499,999 -----	42.2	(L)	42.2	(L)
\$500,000 or more -----	38.2	—	38.2	—
Total farm production expenses ¹ -----\$1,000--	24.1	1.9	24.5	2.0
Average per farm -----dollars--	41.9	2.6	37.9	2.6
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹ -----\$1,000--	-12.5	1.5	-9.7	1.6
Average per farm -----dollars--	19.0	1.7	19.8	1.7
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming -----	-13.8	1.4	-12.1	1.5
Other -----	-8.7	1.7	2.4	2.4
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any -----	-14.3	4.5	-10.0	4.8
200 days or more -----	-8.9	4.8	1.6	12.4
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory -----farms--	-12.3	1.4	-11.3	1.5
number--	2.0	.6	2.3	.6
Beef cows -----farms--	-8.2	1.5	-7.0	1.6
number--	1.9	.9	2.5	.9
Milk cows -----farms--	-36.4	1.1	-33.2	1.2
number--	20.8	1.1	-20.2	1.1
Cattle and calves sold -----farms--	-13.5	1.4	-12.3	1.5
number--	6.0	.4	6.2	.4
Hogs and pigs inventory -----farms--	-19.0	1.4	-18.2	1.5
number--	6.2	1.0	6.4	1.0
Hogs and pigs sold -----farms--	-18.4	1.4	-18.0	1.5
number--	12.9	1.0	13.1	1.0
Sheep and lambs inventory -----farms--	-23.2	1.4	-24.8	1.6
number--	22.4	1.4	-22.7	1.5
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory -----farms--	-52.8	.8	-55.1	.9
number--	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold -----farms--	-44.8	1.5	-49.7	1.5
number--	107.2	3.6	111.8	3.8
Selected crops harvested:				
Corn for grain or seed -----farms--	-14.5	1.5	-11.7	1.6
acres--	18.8	1.4	19.8	1.4
bushels--	24.2	1.4	24.8	1.4
Corn for silage or green chop -----farms--	-6.1	1.3	-5.4	1.3
acres--	7.7	1.0	8.5	.9
Sorghum for grain or seed -----farms--	-16.4	1.5	-11.1	1.7
acres--	8.6	1.7	11.2	1.8
bushels--	21.3	1.9	23.7	2.0
Wheat for grain -----farms--	-30.1	1.2	-26.6	1.2
acres--	-8.2	1.1	-6.7	1.1
bushels--	-30.3	.8	-29.2	.8
Oats for grain -----farms--	-35.9	1.2	-34.3	1.2
acres--	-37.2	1.1	-36.7	1.1
bushels--	-16.9	1.4	-16.4	1.4
Soybeans for beans -----farms--	-19.2	1.4	-15.8	1.5
acres--	-.8	1.4	.5	1.4
bushels--	13.7	1.5	14.7	1.5
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) -----farms--	-9.2	1.5	-8.4	1.5
acres--	.9	.9	2.1	.9
tons, dry--	2.0	1.1	3.1	1.1

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-13

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska	52 923	1.4	44 393 129	.7	839	1.6	429 188	1.8	3 651 286	1.1
Adams	657	1.3	335 465	1.1	511	1.7	520 213	4.7	58 196	4.6
Antelope	891	2.4	489 384	1.8	549	3.0	390 349	5.8	60 017	4.0
Arthur	93	.8	460 156	.2	4 948	.8	868 498	2.1	4 389	2.0
Banner	200	.8	407 678	.5	2 038	.9	621 834	8.5	13 679	5.4
Blaine	116	2.0	460 513	.5	3 970	2.0	630 102	3.3	4 720	4.9
Boone	787	1.8	437 826	1.2	556	2.2	384 941	6.4	57 261	7.2
Box Butte	516	1.3	649 612	.7	1 259	1.5	563 017	5.1	55 322	6.1
Boyd	395	3.0	296 164	2.4	750	3.8	214 529	6.4	16 448	7.6
Brown	332	.9	649 634	.4	1 957	1.0	587 275	2.4	16 427	6.5
Buffalo	1 097	1.8	587 595	1.3	536	2.2	399 136	3.6	77 610	3.9
Burt	588	1.3	270 005	1.2	459	1.8	474 159	5.1	40 809	8.0
Butler	805	1.7	335 849	1.3	417	2.1	402 204	4.3	53 703	4.5
Cass	721	2.4	296 016	1.9	411	3.0	516 876	5.5	42 609	5.0
Cedar	1 041	2.7	428 769	2.2	412	3.5	317 398	6.4	67 504	6.1
Chase	368	1.1	521 389	.5	1 417	1.2	752 305	5.6	38 542	5.0
Cherry	676	.7	3 887 635	.1	5 751	.7	1 060 035	5.5	39 833	2.4
Cheyenne	668	1.4	772 453	1.0	1 156	1.7	345 426	4.6	46 657	8.5
Clay	592	2.3	357 067	1.5	603	2.7	610 475	4.7	60 059	6.1
Colfax	694	1.8	228 988	1.3	330	2.2	330 620	5.2	44 210	4.2
Cuming	1 079	.8	345 739	.7	320	1.1	347 514	3.2	72 099	3.8
Custer	1 321	1.4	1 425 338	.7	1 079	1.6	419 185	4.1	70 664	2.9
Dakota	295	1.4	138 022	1.0	468	1.7	414 618	5.9	18 187	7.7
Dawes	446	1.3	842 110	.6	1 888	1.5	359 379	6.2	17 449	5.4
Dawson	876	1.2	658 572	.7	752	1.4	616 458	3.6	85 237	4.5
Deuel	244	1.1	265 048	.9	1 086	1.4	393 707	6.3	18 249	9.6
Dixon	609	1.9	242 898	1.6	399	2.5	276 169	5.7	53 343	3.4
Dodge	855	1.0	298 854	.7	350	1.2	482 820	3.3	63 851	4.1
Douglas	388	.8	96 093	1.4	248	1.6	437 993	6.4	25 385	5.8
Dundy	308	1.1	528 731	.5	1 717	1.3	624 677	2.9	35 534	2.5
Fillmore	637	1.4	340 471	1.0	534	1.7	555 582	3.3	59 957	5.7
Franklin	444	2.8	323 315	2.2	728	3.6	579 024	10.2	34 028	6.2
Frontier	419	1.5	526 476	1.0	1 257	1.8	444 534	4.2	34 178	6.4
Furnas	459	2.8	430 972	1.9	939	3.4	422 794	5.5	29 967	6.1
Gage	1 140	1.5	508 754	1.2	446	1.9	314 764	3.7	67 956	5.0
Garden	297	2.1	1 069 778	.5	3 602	2.1	661 974	4.3	21 212	10.7
Garfield	228	2.6	338 136	1.2	1 483	2.9	371 854	22.4	8 083	11.5
Gosper	282	1.1	229 703	1.1	815	1.5	527 691	6.2	28 425	6.1
Grant	82	.3	545 799	.2	6 656	.4	1 348 623	1.8	5 145	1.3
Greeley	394	2.0	304 180	1.3	772	2.4	320 247	5.3	25 446	8.9
Hall	744	1.6	316 551	1.0	425	1.9	431 496	3.7	55 785	3.7
Hamilton	664	1.5	321 080	1.1	484	1.8	684 766	3.8	75 603	3.7
Harlan	385	2.1	305 724	1.7	794	2.7	446 974	7.7	26 132	7.5
Hayes	273	1.7	401 978	.8	1 472	1.9	412 213	5.4	20 477	8.6
Hitchcock	379	1.5	403 584	1.1	1 065	1.9	379 994	6.2	32 254	9.3
Holt	1 265	1.7	1 387 740	.9	1 097	1.9	404 061	4.1	98 536	2.8
Hooker	76	.3	375 188	.3	4 937	4.4	584 799	1.6	3 183	1.4
Howard	657	1.9	325 330	1.5	495	2.4	299 386	4.8	35 491	4.8
Jefferson	683	1.5	326 831	1.3	479	1.9	325 815	4.5	46 036	5.3
Johnson	488	2.6	186 806	2.9	383	3.9	216 392	7.5	16 314	10.5
Kearney	502	1.3	310 042	1.0	618	1.6	716 153	5.8	55 385	6.4
Keith	348	1.2	668 957	.5	1 922	1.2	581 021	6.4	31 587	9.3
Keya Paha	206	1.3	446 007	.6	2 165	1.4	522 640	12.3	11 863	8.3
Kimball	292	1.1	505 150	.6	1 730	1.2	405 284	5.3	17 696	3.8
Knox	1 086	1.7	612 694	1.4	564	2.2	272 462	5.7	52 689	4.0
Lancaster	1 359	.8	414 763	.9	305	1.3	305 459	6.9	60 914	5.0
Lincoln	1 031	1.1	1 450 481	.4	1 407	1.1	476 821	2.6	65 883	3.8
Logan	133	1.9	335 820	.6	2 525	2.0	534 279	3.3	9 107	3.0
Loup	134	1.6	330 369	.7	2 465	1.7	455 530	4.3	6 439	3.7
McPherson	121	.6	464 534	.3	3 839	.6	565 005	2.7	5 134	3.6
Madison	825	1.5	322 120	1.2	390	1.9	345 231	4.1	53 600	5.1
Merrick	617	2.1	290 608	1.4	471	2.5	426 463	5.0	47 002	5.0
Morrill	458	1.4	724 458	.6	1 582	1.5	418 801	3.5	33 060	6.1
Nance	440	2.9	236 950	2.3	539	3.6	311 739	7.0	29 168	7.9
Nemaha	511	1.6	226 042	1.5	442	2.2	334 099	4.9	24 389	4.9
Nuckolls	541	2.5	333 488	2.1	616	3.3	319 209	6.1	34 307	6.3
Otoe	805	1.3	325 801	1.3	405	1.8	375 224	6.9	50 144	6.2
Pawnee	463	1.7	223 949	1.5	484	2.3	297 961	8.1	24 464	7.2
Perkins	479	1.2	532 901	.7	1 113	1.3	551 959	5.8	37 998	4.8
Phelps	578	1.3	375 771	.8	650	1.5	771 920	2.8	75 058	3.9
Pierce	725	2.2	297 326	1.8	410	2.8	326 495	10.4	46 065	5.5
Platte	1 099	2.5	409 715	2.0	373	3.2	409 924	5.8	80 324	5.8
Polk	625	1.3	250 086	1.1	400	1.7	447 125	4.8	51 000	5.2
Red Willow	425	1.8	439 475	1.2	1 034	2.2	457 800	13.1	37 379	10.6
Richardson	712	2.4	301 513	2.1	423	3.2	281 582	5.5	35 589	5.7
Rock	310	1.2	657 906	.5	2 122	1.3	447 170	5.9	15 573	2.9
Saline	742	2.5	312 079	2.5	421	3.5	307 555	5.0	43 200	5.0
Sarpy	362	1.3	105 085	1.6	290	2.1	467 448	7.3	19 628	5.7
Saunders	1 235	1.7	437 274	1.4	354	2.2	425 107	4.7	75 615	3.8
Scotts Bluff	821	1.5	417 698	1.0	509	1.8	323 127	4.4	51 212	4.0
Seward	879	1.2	314 949	1.1	358	1.6	334 133	4.1	57 821	4.2
Sheridan	658	1.5	1 481 503	.5	2 252	1.6	430 866	4.7	42 908	4.8
Sherman	500	1.8	298 115	1.6	596	2.5	286 131	7.3	24 220	7.9
Sioux	327	.9	1 005 877	.3	3 076	.9	678 135	3.8	15 977	6.0
Stanton	557	1.1	217 228	1.2	390	1.6	277 829	5.9	39 934	8.4

See footnotes at end of table.

C-14 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹		
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Thayer -----	623	1.7	347 598	1.4	558	2.3	420 848	4.4	49 439	5.2	
Thomas -----	97	1.0	360 203	.2	3 713	1.1	605 183	2.4	3 887	2.6	
Thurston -----	386	1.8	193 556	1.1	501	2.1	367 581	5.5	24 878	7.8	
Valley -----	488	2.4	339 358	2.0	695	3.1	355 025	12.0	27 454	7.3	
Washington -----	726	1.3	228 167	1.2	314	1.8	412 767	3.6	41 783	4.8	
Wayne -----	630	1.2	248 502	1.0	394	1.6	312 113	4.3	40 928	6.9	
Webster -----	448	1.5	307 527	1.4	686	2.0	364 593	11.1	21 007	7.5	
Wheeler -----	200	1.1	263 976	.9	1 320	1.4	360 410	7.4	13 901	2.9	
York -----	765	1.6	345 509	1.2	452	1.9	664 172	7.7	83 478	6.5	
Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹					
Geographic area							Total farm production expenses				
							Farms		Value		
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Nebraska -----	69 120	1.8	8 209 691	.5	155 125	1.5	52 920	1.4	6 711 544	.5	
Adams -----	88 578	4.8	153 567	.4	233 739	1.4	657	1.5	128 841	1.0	
Antelope -----	67 435	4.8	121 668	1.2	136 552	2.6	890	2.6	97 692	2.0	
Arthur -----	47 189	2.8	11 642	.7	125 187	1.0	93	2.0	8 908	.8	
Banner -----	68 395	5.6	36 095	.3	180 475	.8	200	1.1	33 172	3.3	
Blaine -----	40 692	5.6	18 159	.7	156 546	2.1	116	2.6	14 353	1.7	
Boone -----	72 759	7.5	119 962	.7	152 430	2.0	787	2.1	105 111	1.8	
Box Butte -----	107 421	6.3	130 151	.3	252 231	1.4	515	1.5	108 217	1.2	
Boyd -----	42 610	8.5	25 759	2.1	65 213	3.7	395	3.1	19 409	6.0	
Brown -----	49 478	6.6	80 435	.3	242 273	.9	332	1.0	74 694	1.7	
Buffalo -----	70 748	4.3	148 772	.8	135 617	1.9	1 097	1.8	121 682	1.4	
Burt -----	69 403	8.1	94 915	.7	161 420	1.5	588	1.5	72 315	1.7	
Butler -----	67 128	4.9	87 084	.9	108 178	1.9	805	1.9	63 320	2.1	
Cass -----	59 179	5.6	64 130	1.5	88 946	2.8	720	2.5	43 827	3.0	
Cedar -----	64 908	6.7	125 722	1.5	120 770	3.0	1 040	2.7	98 577	2.1	
Chase -----	105 019	5.2	77 232	.4	209 869	1.1	367	1.5	68 781	2.3	
Cherry -----	58 925	2.5	101 233	.2	149 753	.8	676	.8	75 976	1.0	
Cheyenne -----	70 479	8.7	98 633	.4	147 655	1.4	667	1.4	90 925	1.2	
Clay -----	101 280	6.7	126 745	.7	214 096	2.4	593	2.8	98 094	1.3	
Colfax -----	64 446	4.4	177 498	.4	255 761	1.8	693	1.2	151 899	1.1	
Cuming -----	66 820	3.9	434 696	.1	402 869	.9	1 079	.9	353 429	.4	
Custer -----	53 493	3.1	219 113	.4	165 869	1.4	1 321	1.2	198 834	1.1	
Dakota -----	61 444	8.0	27 454	.9	93 064	1.6	296	2.0	20 454	3.4	
Dawes -----	39 123	5.6	23 765	.8	53 284	1.5	446	1.5	17 734	4.5	
Dawson -----	97 303	4.6	322 631	.2	368 300	1.2	876	1.0	296 716	.4	
Deuel -----	74 791	9.7	12 657	1.2	51 871	1.6	244	1.4	12 378	6.5	
Dixon -----	87 592	4.1	122 195	.5	200 648	2.0	609	2.4	100 378	1.2	
Dodge -----	74 679	4.2	116 335	.5	136 065	1.1	855	.9	87 081	1.4	
Douglas -----	65 425	5.9	37 085	.7	95 580	1.1	388	1.1	28 307	2.2	
Dundy -----	115 370	2.9	81 313	.3	264 002	1.2	308	1.5	67 207	1.3	
Fillmore -----	94 271	5.9	110 265	.6	173 101	1.5	636	1.5	81 617	1.5	
Franklin -----	78 406	7.1	46 407	1.7	104 520	3.2	444	2.6	35 569	3.4	
Frontier -----	81 375	6.6	42 563	1.0	101 582	1.8	420	1.6	33 962	2.9	
Fumas -----	65 289	6.8	79 032	.9	172 182	2.9	459	3.0	63 853	2.0	
Gage -----	59 610	5.2	96 378	1.0	84 542	1.7	1 140	1.4	72 201	1.8	
Garden -----	71 421	10.8	46 688	.6	157 199	2.2	297	2.0	40 547	2.7	
Garfield -----	35 452	11.8	32 873	.9	144 181	2.8	228	2.4	29 967	4.8	
Gosper -----	100 799	6.3	37 509	.8	133 012	1.3	282	1.4	33 694	4.9	
Grant -----	62 746	2.2	10 969	.3	133 773	.5	82	1.8	8 448	.3	
Greeley -----	64 749	9.3	39 597	1.1	100 500	2.3	393	2.9	30 734	2.6	
Hall -----	75 081	4.0	151 921	.5	204 195	1.6	743	1.6	132 268	.8	
Hamilton -----	113 861	3.9	128 468	.7	193 476	1.6	664	1.4	100 664	1.3	
Harlan -----	67 876	7.8	74 385	.7	193 209	2.2	385	2.2	55 739	1.7	
Hayes -----	75 008	8.8	78 469	.3	287 430	1.8	273	2.2	66 002	1.4	
Hitchcock -----	86 704	9.6	30 590	1.0	80 712	1.8	379	1.4	25 058	5.9	
Holt -----	77 894	3.5	170 567	.7	134 835	1.8	1 265	2.2	140 916	1.6	
Hooker -----	41 887	2.1	8 138	.5	107 080	.6	76	1.6	6 992	.6	
Howard -----	53 937	5.2	72 461	.9	110 291	2.1	658	2.0	59 403	2.4	
Jefferson -----	67 403	5.5	61 641	.9	90 250	1.7	683	1.4	48 866	2.2	
Johnson -----	33 429	10.8	24 550	2.4	50 308	3.5	488	2.4	17 626	5.9	
Kearney -----	110 330	6.5	155 716	.3	310 191	1.3	502	1.5	128 682	1.5	
Keith -----	91 030	9.4	82 657	.3	237 520	1.2	347	1.3	75 190	2.4	
Keya Paha -----	57 585	8.4	20 581	.9	99 906	1.6	206	1.2	17 212	4.5	
Kimball -----	60 397	4.0	20 484	.7	70 152	1.3	293	1.4	15 119	3.7	
Knox -----	49 013	4.4	119 103	.9	109 671	1.9	1 086	1.6	91 878	1.7	
Lancaster -----	44 955	5.1	69 989	.8	51 500	1.2	1 362	.9	52 558	2.1	
Lincoln -----	63 902	3.9	150 684	.4	146 153	1.1	1 031	1.0	127 367	1.1	
Logan -----	68 471	3.8	16 464	1.2	123 788	2.3	133	2.3	13 895	2.2	
Loup -----	48 055	4.3	14 996	1.2	111 907	2.0	134	2.3	11 867	2.3	
McPherson -----	42 434	4.0	11 575	.4	95 659	.7	121	1.7	9 815	2.1	

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-15

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms		Value	
							Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Madison -----	64 969	5.5	102 198	.8	123 876	1.7	825	1.9	81 469	1.6
Merrick -----	76 801	5.5	133 054	.6	215 647	2.1	617	2.2	112 332	1.0
Morrill -----	72 183	6.3	105 523	.4	230 401	1.5	458	1.4	86 722	1.1
Nance -----	66 291	8.5	54 622	1.3	124 141	3.2	440	3.1	43 577	3.2
Nemaha -----	47 728	5.2	44 621	1.2	87 320	2.0	511	1.9	29 456	3.2
Nuckolls -----	63 415	6.8	47 325	1.6	87 477	3.0	541	2.6	34 062	3.0
Otoe -----	62 368	6.4	58 502	1.1	72 673	1.7	804	1.7	39 545	3.1
Pawnee -----	52 838	7.8	29 670	1.2	64 082	2.1	463	3.0	23 186	5.2
Perkins -----	79 327	5.0	50 090	.6	104 572	1.3	479	1.2	42 011	2.4
Phelps -----	129 858	4.0	229 604	.3	397 239	1.3	578	1.1	199 244	.7
Pierce -----	63 450	6.0	105 844	1.0	145 992	2.4	726	2.4	85 077	2.4
Platte -----	73 154	6.4	167 079	1.1	152 028	2.7	1 098	2.7	130 147	2.1
Polk -----	81 600	5.3	118 520	.5	189 632	1.4	625	1.3	97 365	1.2
Red Willow -----	88 998	10.8	81 098	.6	190 819	1.9	425	1.9	71 170	2.0
Richardson -----	49 984	6.2	52 061	1.6	73 119	2.9	712	2.3	35 674	3.5
Rock -----	50 073	3.2	52 111	.5	168 100	1.3	311	1.3	40 895	1.8
Saline -----	58 616	5.6	57 634	1.9	77 674	3.1	742	2.5	41 633	3.5
Sarpy -----	54 222	5.9	60 388	.6	166 817	1.4	362	1.6	49 734	1.9
Saunders -----	61 277	4.1	140 199	.8	113 521	1.9	1 234	1.4	103 514	1.6
Scotts Bluff -----	62 453	4.3	191 286	.4	232 991	1.5	820	1.7	156 647	.9
Seward -----	65 780	4.5	99 894	.6	113 645	1.4	879	1.6	73 565	1.6
Sheridan -----	65 110	5.1	60 831	.7	92 449	1.6	659	1.7	47 070	1.7
Sherman -----	48 537	8.1	34 916	1.7	69 832	2.5	499	1.7	29 044	4.9
Sioux -----	48 858	6.1	65 709	.3	200 946	.9	327	1.0	55 359	1.1
Stanton -----	71 694	8.5	97 173	.4	174 457	1.2	557	1.4	81 092	1.7
Thayer -----	79 356	5.4	80 403	.8	129 058	1.9	623	1.5	70 608	2.4
Thomas -----	40 074	3.5	9 001	.5	92 789	1.2	97	2.3	7 246	.6
Thurston -----	64 451	8.0	54 468	.7	141 109	1.9	386	2.0	38 165	1.9
Valley -----	56 258	7.8	72 053	.9	147 650	2.6	488	2.9	59 901	2.8
Washington -----	57 473	5.1	77 826	.8	107 198	1.5	727	1.6	55 179	1.9
Wayne -----	66 012	7.1	106 770	.5	169 476	1.3	630	1.5	87 468	1.1
Webster -----	46 786	7.8	92 643	.4	206 793	1.5	449	1.9	82 413	1.3
Wheeler -----	72 782	3.3	122 807	.2	614 037	1.1	200	1.6	115 677	.6
York -----	109 121	6.8	151 402	.6	197 911	1.7	765	1.8	119 279	1.3
Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.										
Geographic area	Livestock and poultry purchased			Feed for livestock and poultry			Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	22 055	1.7	2 502 060	.3	31 853	1.6	1 086 234	.5	39 138	1.6
Adams -----	196	14.3	(D)	(D)	284	10.4	(D)	(D)	546	3.7
Antelope -----	536	6.1	28 697	3.5	609	5.2	12 941	5.1	727	4.3
Arthur -----	54	2.2	1 551	.3	76	2.0	1 486	.5	26	2.3
Banner -----	94	15.5	14 589	2.9	112	10.2	3 456	2.6	110	13.9
Blaine -----	69	9.4	2 729	6.5	95	5.7	3 846	3.0	27	14.2
Boone -----	397	8.7	33 182	2.2	534	6.0	21 064	5.0	610	4.5
Box Butte -----	212	10.9	46 139	2.0	267	9.5	12 448	2.3	331	8.0
Boyd -----	219	10.1	5 661	15.3	297	7.4	3 298	5.4	250	7.9
Brown -----	197	10.3	38 425	2.3	254	5.9	12 940	1.5	145	11.1
Buffalo -----	486	7.8	42 424	1.5	706	4.6	14 837	4.6	783	3.5
Burt -----	190	15.2	24 251	1.0	244	13.2	9 671	3.7	484	4.0
Butler -----	249	10.6	12 964	3.0	447	7.5	10 440	5.4	663	3.7
Cass -----	243	12.8	8 586	6.5	400	8.9	3 617	9.8	542	5.7
Cedar -----	607	6.3	31 143	3.4	761	5.1	18 510	4.2	825	4.2
Chase -----	118	16.2	13 244	1.8	199	8.7	5 490	3.5	301	4.0
Cherry -----	388	5.2	14 992	5.3	545	3.2	12 950	1.5	121	11.3
Cheyenne -----	168	13.5	48 208	.8	265	11.0	13 129	1.6	483	6.3
Clay -----	158	16.3	26 593	2.4	245	13.0	15 642	2.7	516	4.9
Colfax -----	343	7.9	78 860	1.9	463	6.1	33 898	2.7	520	4.8
Cuming -----	561	5.1	220 112	.4	706	4.2	69 198	1.0	917	2.4
Custer -----	725	5.4	87 490	1.1	950	3.7	26 622	5.0	751	4.7
Dakota -----	72	19.5	1 524	6.9	113	15.4	1 179	11.1	261	5.7
Dawes -----	181	14.2	3 735	6.7	269	11.0	1 911	9.6	192	11.3
Dawson -----	373	7.5	150 466	.5	534	5.8	59 671	.7	627	4.0
Deuel -----	24	30.0	1 707	30.2	52	26.9	496	11.1	188	8.9
Dixon -----	227	11.1	28 693	1.7	374	7.2	33 899	.9	516	5.0
Dodge -----	318	9.9	30 521	2.2	425	7.9	12 084	4.7	719	3.1
Douglas -----	106	19.7	7 456	2.6	160	15.2	4 178	4.2	284	7.5
Dundy -----	151	10.6	23 465	1.4	187	8.9	7 650	2.9	242	7.1
Fillmore -----	227	10.4	15 966	1.5	300	9.0	10 842	4.2	543	3.3
Franklin -----	181	13.2	4 897	14.4	222	9.8	2 568	5.3	368	5.4
Frontier -----	206	10.2	3 112	13.8	325	6.4	2 982	7.7	350	4.4
Fumas -----	219	10.2	19 408	2.2	315	6.8	11 705	2.2	385	5.7
Gage -----	586	6.5	11 908	4.7	818	4.2	13 229	5.5	899	3.0

See footnotes at end of table.

C-16 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Garden -----	122	14.3	13 756	1.5	156	12.5	5 761	5.6	142	11.2	661	13.2
Garfield -----	118	16.9	15 100	5.6	163	12.9	5 889	5.7	160	9.3	338	10.2
Gosper -----	87	9.3	5 850	3.9	177	10.5	3 236	4.1	229	5.0	1 738	8.7
Grant -----	54	1.8	1 534	.3	64	1.9	1 402	.7	13	2.4	119	(L)
Greeley -----	193	11.1	4 249	10.7	290	8.0	4 554	9.3	300	7.4	1 500	6.7
Hall -----	326	9.8	56 983	1.5	411	8.3	21 925	2.2	551	3.9	3 782	3.1
Hamilton -----	212	12.2	30 872	2.0	308	9.2	10 719	2.3	610	2.9	5 294	4.8
Harlan -----	150	15.9	21 348	3.0	218	10.6	9 153	3.7	315	5.8	1 569	9.6
Hayes -----	136	14.8	32 825	1.3	197	6.4	12 324	2.2	178	3.8	1 121	7.3
Hitchcock -----	146	15.8	4 807	14.1	195	14.5	1 609	11.0	325	3.2	1 072	9.7
Holt -----	570	8.1	25 481	3.6	942	4.5	27 679	5.3	613	6.8	4 876	6.3
Hooker -----	49	1.9	1 831	.6	69	1.6	1 469	.8	15	—	36	—
Howard -----	341	9.6	22 098	4.1	474	6.2	9 110	3.6	467	4.6	2 054	4.9
Jefferson -----	185	15.4	8 357	7.1	349	9.9	7 373	4.9	543	5.4	2 110	10.3
Johnson -----	151	18.2	1 696	33.3	296	10.4	3 981	13.3	339	6.8	622	13.6
Kearney -----	150	15.5	59 765	.2	235	11.3	18 643	1.8	436	4.2	3 961	6.6
Keith -----	125	16.8	33 929	1.9	219	10.6	8 546	5.5	224	10.6	2 079	14.8
Keya Paha -----	83	10.8	4 313	5.1	153	9.3	2 560	12.9	124	10.8	370	11.6
Kimball -----	76	19.2	1 647	13.0	147	10.8	1 183	18.9	192	8.5	617	12.4
Knox -----	637	5.8	31 173	3.9	828	3.6	20 415	4.7	827	4.2	2 180	4.8
Lancaster -----	437	8.9	5 076	11.7	741	5.8	9 139	7.5	978	3.4	2 315	4.4
Lincoln -----	535	6.2	34 547	1.3	679	4.5	19 574	1.2	580	4.9	4 053	4.1
Logan -----	72	9.2	2 823	1.9	104	5.3	1 843	1.8	69	9.5	440	6.0
Loup -----	69	9.8	3 028	3.1	113	4.9	1 989	5.1	80	8.1	177	9.4
McPherson -----	72	7.0	2 348	3.8	97	4.9	1 841	3.8	49	11.1	157	10.4
Madison -----	405	7.0	26 625	2.5	500	5.7	13 034	3.2	635	3.8	3 218	4.2
Merrick -----	226	11.9	50 227	.4	415	7.8	16 983	2.2	518	4.5	3 520	4.0
Morrill -----	232	10.6	37 762	1.4	301	8.5	13 385	1.5	299	6.9	2 640	9.3
Nance -----	224	11.9	12 814	2.7	325	8.1	6 720	5.9	378	5.7	1 850	7.8
Nemaha -----	117	13.0	3 322	19.2	313	6.8	4 105	13.2	449	3.6	1 777	4.7
Nuckolls -----	194	15.0	5 039	5.6	300	10.4	5 277	11.3	409	6.0	1 264	8.8
Otoe -----	274	11.8	5 342	10.9	422	8.1	5 172	6.9	678	3.4	2 309	6.9
Pawnee -----	200	12.1	4 516	28.9	279	9.1	4 364	9.3	357	6.9	810	11.7
Perkins -----	126	14.5	3 448	12.3	200	10.9	1 514	6.5	397	4.9	2 924	4.8
Phelps -----	209	12.6	99 905	.9	323	8.8	33 270	1.1	509	3.2	5 650	3.7
Pierce -----	435	7.9	32 155	4.0	523	5.8	14 051	4.1	604	4.4	2 946	5.6
Platte -----	462	8.1	41 576	3.7	673	6.3	26 574	2.8	916	4.0	4 651	5.3
Polk -----	276	8.9	43 227	1.8	399	6.3	16 489	3.3	500	3.9	2 708	5.1
Red Willow -----	158	12.0	33 740	2.7	238	10.9	8 473	2.5	329	6.0	2 245	12.6
Richardson -----	196	14.2	3 962	5.5	348	8.9	4 277	6.5	574	4.8	2 337	8.0
Rock -----	109	11.5	14 317	.8	212	5.7	7 974	2.1	77	16.7	1 034	8.0
Saline -----	270	12.1	6 404	7.4	450	7.8	5 746	8.6	645	4.1	2 184	7.1
Sarpy -----	87	21.3	27 441	.9	153	15.2	7 130	2.4	264	5.0	1 191	9.2
Saunders -----	443	8.5	39 960	2.7	567	6.9	11 355	4.1	1 075	2.8	4 676	5.4
Scotts Bluff -----	244	11.1	79 652	.9	328	9.8	26 522	1.0	620	4.8	3 480	3.9
Seward -----	278	9.9	21 261	3.0	428	6.9	13 251	3.1	701	4.0	3 095	4.5
Sheridan -----	287	10.3	9 405	4.2	484	5.9	5 955	7.0	327	8.3	1 241	8.3
Sherman -----	230	13.5	3 337	14.7	327	9.2	2 390	8.1	346	6.8	1 563	13.1
Sioux -----	190	8.3	32 348	1.3	246	5.8	8 304	1.0	135	12.9	501	12.3
Stanton -----	261	9.7	39 866	2.6	374	7.4	14 817	3.9	443	5.0	1 915	5.9
Thayer -----	192	13.9	19 447	.7	361	8.1	11 096	4.0	537	3.4	2 853	7.7
Thomas -----	59	2.5	1 909	1.5	81	2.3	1 867	.7	11	3.6	23	.3
Thurston -----	165	16.3	11 468	2.1	201	11.1	4 977	5.2	331	4.9	1 838	5.0
Valley -----	255	9.6	24 777	3.9	345	7.4	8 936	5.9	370	7.2	1 813	7.4
Washington -----	225	11.7	14 832	2.9	356	8.3	6 181	5.4	550	3.3	2 477	5.3
Wayne -----	322	7.4	37 699	1.7	429	5.9	15 062	2.1	519	4.3	2 453	5.8
Webster -----	207	10.0	(D)	(D)	324	7.5	(D)	(D)	293	8.5	1 164	9.2
Wheeler -----	94	17.5	(D)	(D)	146	11.2	(D)	(D)	85	15.7	678	9.9
York -----	226	13.9	42 791	1.1	304	11.3	17 416	6.0	646	4.3	5 116	5.2
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	37 794	1.6	344 363	1.1	35 592	1.6	202 392	1.2	50 701	1.5	288 400	1.1
Adams -----	538	3.6	5 346	4.4	524	3.9	3 514	7.4	650	1.7	4 994	4.4
Antelope -----	685	4.9	8 765	5.0	630	5.5	3 523	5.1	873	2.6	5 826	3.6
Arthur -----	33	2.1	313	3.6	27	3.6	77	6.7	89	2.0	621	1.1
Banner -----	106	12.3	940	6.4	122	10.9	387	10.2	200	1.1	1 710	7.2
Blaine -----	39	13.4	243	5.2	21	13.3	57	8.8	108	4.6	727	2.1
Boone -----	585	4.5	7 311	5.7	574	5.2	3 629	6.3	739	2.8	4 403	5.8
Box Butte -----	337	7.9	5 790	3.1	317	7.3	2 719	5.9	489	3.3	3 947	2.9
Boyd -----	221	9.8	831	11.3	223	10.3	435	17.5	387	3.7	1 189	6.1
Brown -----	133	12.2	2 180	12.5	120	11.7	1 109	12.0	316	2.9	1 900	5.6

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-17

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Buffalo -----	768	4.0	7 746	4.9	722	4.7	4 069	6.3	1 040	2.4	7 264	4.0
Burt -----	475	4.8	5 151	10.1	490	4.8	3 489	5.7	569	2.5	3 201	6.6
Butler -----	701	3.4	4 328	3.7	586	4.7	2 992	9.5	789	2.0	4 005	4.2
Cass -----	555	5.6	2 891	5.5	527	6.3	3 636	8.0	691	3.2	2 852	5.7
Cedar -----	800	4.6	4 576	5.5	736	5.5	2 931	7.2	990	3.3	4 235	4.8
Chase -----	291	4.3	9 223	6.3	238	7.7	3 753	8.1	339	4.6	3 714	5.8
Cherry -----	158	7.1	1 083	3.5	128	9.7	460	1.4	655	1.9	4 203	2.7
Cheyenne -----	428	6.5	2 585	6.7	401	7.9	1 525	11.1	647	2.4	3 209	4.7
Clay -----	505	4.7	5 510	4.5	488	5.4	3 924	4.6	578	3.3	5 492	4.3
Colfax -----	498	5.5	3 341	4.1	485	5.6	2 036	6.0	673	1.8	3 112	3.5
Cuming -----	867	2.8	5 287	6.5	815	3.4	3 699	4.2	1 053	1.4	4 302	2.6
Custer -----	690	4.8	8 650	4.8	674	5.0	4 468	4.5	1 231	2.2	8 463	3.9
Dakota -----	277	4.5	2 517	4.0	253	6.0	1 557	6.2	286	3.5	1 237	5.2
Dawes -----	135	17.2	345	15.7	149	12.0	267	13.6	421	3.3	1 512	7.8
Dawson -----	597	4.0	7 132	3.2	618	3.9	4 018	4.0	783	2.4	6 534	4.4
Duel -----	175	9.2	862	9.4	155	11.6	553	12.3	221	4.9	1 045	10.5
Dixon -----	495	4.7	3 195	7.4	489	5.6	1 623	8.7	590	3.0	3 011	5.2
Dodge -----	743	3.2	4 883	6.0	638	4.3	3 936	5.7	838	1.2	3 481	4.3
Douglas -----	254	9.4	1 877	5.2	271	7.7	1 595	6.5	366	3.5	1 479	5.2
Dundy -----	248	6.2	6 242	4.1	179	9.2	1 812	3.5	290	3.4	3 279	3.3
Fillmore -----	539	3.2	6 214	4.1	512	3.3	4 211	3.9	624	1.7	5 100	3.5
Franklin -----	344	6.4	3 658	6.6	354	6.7	1 807	7.0	413	4.2	3 854	7.4
Frontier -----	320	5.5	3 755	5.4	269	7.4	1 670	9.3	411	2.4	3 135	4.9
Furnas -----	364	5.8	4 032	6.4	313	7.3	2 234	11.1	444	3.7	3 057	5.4
Gage -----	881	3.3	4 790	5.3	919	3.4	3 620	5.5	1 096	2.0	4 706	4.1
Garden -----	132	12.5	1 509	13.3	109	14.8	526	13.9	295	2.0	1 882	6.6
Garfield -----	82	23.4	408	15.0	121	16.2	295	21.0	216	5.3	947	7.7
Gosper -----	223	5.4	2 914	7.2	235	6.0	1 927	11.9	270	3.5	2 400	7.0
Grant -----	19	—	147	—	22	3.0	75	1.0	82	1.8	574	.7
Greeley -----	293	6.8	3 431	6.4	278	7.1	1 668	9.3	387	3.2	2 168	6.3
Hall -----	580	3.9	6 861	3.6	522	4.7	3 144	7.6	693	3.1	4 394	5.1
Hamilton -----	583	3.3	6 624	3.6	588	2.8	5 231	4.0	647	2.1	5 501	3.9
Harlan -----	309	6.2	3 236	5.6	273	8.2	1 536	8.2	367	3.2	3 100	8.2
Hayes -----	183	3.7	2 640	7.3	164	5.6	1 225	7.2	272	2.2	1 999	3.7
Hitchcock -----	350	3.7	3 003	9.1	257	7.3	835	9.5	358	3.8	1 890	10.3
Holt -----	601	7.2	9 956	5.7	521	8.1	4 617	7.4	1 217	2.6	8 645	3.9
Hooker -----	16	—	58	—	17	4.5	39	2.5	74	1.6	423	.6
Howard -----	482	3.8	4 714	6.8	441	4.6	1 808	6.6	599	3.5	2 498	5.0
Jefferson -----	518	6.0	3 475	7.3	431	6.9	2 641	8.2	622	3.2	3 758	11.1
Johnson -----	386	4.9	1 080	10.6	389	6.4	895	14.2	454	4.2	1 102	7.3
Kearney -----	429	5.1	6 533	6.2	405	5.6	3 601	7.6	501	1.5	5 415	9.0
Keith -----	226	10.2	3 225	10.4	154	14.9	1 635	13.9	318	4.4	2 523	7.0
Keya Paha -----	91	9.9	759	21.7	84	16.6	332	14.5	206	1.2	1 151	11.0
Kimball -----	134	9.6	699	5.7	139	10.6	482	7.5	291	1.4	1 488	5.6
Knox -----	679	5.1	3 251	5.2	613	6.4	1 835	7.2	1 075	1.8	4 257	4.3
Lancaster -----	989	3.3	3 818	5.9	963	3.0	3 763	12.0	1 301	1.5	3 309	5.0
Lincoln -----	572	4.5	7 512	4.1	510	5.0	3 954	7.0	990	1.7	5 970	3.9
Logan -----	68	9.6	840	4.6	54	10.5	342	9.6	123	4.1	848	4.2
Loup -----	73	8.7	361	6.3	46	10.9	104	12.2	131	2.9	664	4.2
McPherson -----	56	9.1	321	12.6	36	12.9	105	20.6	118	2.6	668	4.9
Madison -----	607	4.5	5 310	5.6	529	5.6	2 857	4.7	813	2.2	4 025	4.6
Merrick -----	510	4.6	8 033	4.8	455	6.5	2 741	8.4	587	2.6	3 379	3.8
Morrill -----	278	8.0	3 525	6.0	266	8.6	1 714	3.9	442	2.3	2 626	3.5
Nance -----	383	5.7	3 108	8.6	360	6.6	1 402	10.3	409	4.5	2 286	7.9
Nemaha -----	416	4.6	2 513	4.3	409	4.9	2 347	4.6	455	4.1	1 737	5.5
Nuckolls -----	406	6.5	2 532	5.5	377	7.4	1 932	6.5	541	2.6	2 591	4.3
Otoe -----	629	4.4	3 041	6.5	623	4.9	2 731	8.8	778	2.2	3 075	5.6
Pawnee -----	298	8.6	1 910	15.9	299	8.6	1 331	14.8	413	5.3	1 368	9.2
Perkins -----	416	4.7	5 385	3.1	303	6.1	2 818	9.9	456	2.9	3 137	4.3
Phelps -----	515	3.0	7 441	4.2	468	4.3	4 019	4.1	562	1.6	6 629	2.8
Pierce -----	568	4.3	4 967	5.9	519	5.7	2 257	7.6	717	2.7	3 764	5.0
Platte -----	885	4.3	7 750	5.6	866	4.6	3 989	7.9	1 052	3.2	5 091	4.7
Polk -----	501	4.1	4 077	5.1	500	4.2	2 721	7.4	595	2.2	3 682	4.6
Red Willow -----	324	6.2	3 544	5.2	291	7.5	1 669	9.4	398	3.8	2 252	7.7
Richardson -----	545	5.6	3 629	7.5	583	4.9	3 331	6.7	653	3.6	2 223	5.9
Rock -----	78	16.7	1 844	7.8	112	14.6	927	4.7	293	2.2	2 105	5.0
Saline -----	639	4.2	3 315	6.2	614	4.6	2 834	11.7	717	2.8	3 230	6.6
Sarpy -----	257	6.4	1 420	12.7	237	9.3	1 375	11.3	341	3.4	1 353	8.2
Saunders -----	967	3.4	4 618	5.0	910	3.5	4 751	5.0	1 186	1.9	4 583	3.9
Scotts Bluff -----	600	4.7	6 260	6.8	572	5.2	3 169	7.3	800	1.9	4 839	3.5
Seward -----	717	3.4	3 938	4.3	695	4.2	3 364	5.5	818	2.5	3 628	3.9
Sheridan -----	269	10.5	1 599	7.9	269	9.4	1 148	11.2	643	2.3	3 304	4.7
Sherman -----	321	7.0	3 040	6.1	310	8.2	1 935	11.5	455	3.6	2 496	7.1
Sioux -----	91	12.9	847	12.1	120	13.7	314	11.4	305	3.1	1 453	4.5
Stanton -----	434	5.2	2 608	7.1	374	7.0	1 514	9.3	545	2.0	2 412	4.6
Thayer -----	552	3.3	5 368	6.1	508	4.6	3 612	6.2	618	1.7	3 808	4.3
Thomas -----	19	—	91	—	12	7.0	21	3.2	92	2.4	377	1.1
Thurston -----	324	5.1	2 869	4.0	310	5.5	1 562	6.1	386	2.0	1 614	4.2
Valley -----	352	7.1	3 081	6.8	357	7.3	1 572	10.9	464	3.5	2 369	8.7
Washington -----	538	3.6	3 639	7.2	558	4.0	2 789	6.9	718	1.9	2 747	3.8

See footnotes at end of table.

C-18 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Wayne -----	469	5.5	3 745	5.6	450	5.3	2 215	5.2	617	1.9	2 867	5.1
Webster -----	292	8.5	2 378	9.6	294	8.5	1 414	8.5	410	5.5	2 089	11.5
Wheeler -----	92	13.8	1 801	7.2	83	16.1	891	26.1	182	5.5	1 103	3.2
York -----	653	4.3	6 171	4.8	620	4.8	5 174	5.2	744	2.0	5 784	4.3
Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.												
Geographic area	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Nebraska -----	43 372	1.5	95 895	1.1	19 889	1.6	254 132	.6	5 622	2.9	18 344
Adams -----	561	3.5	1 094	7.3	258	9.9	2 877	5.9	85	23.3	151	22.0
Antelope -----	796	3.8	1 500	4.7	306	10.1	4 218	6.1	101	23.9	277	21.9
Arthur -----	69	2.0	176	1.1	41	1.7	808	.7	12	2.8	115	.4
Banner -----	161	9.0	560	9.1	79	14.1	1 389	12.6	46	24.3	202	21.1
Blaine -----	99	5.3	193	3.4	55	9.8	1 174	2.3	19	19.2	136	2.4
Boone -----	695	3.4	1 526	10.5	257	11.5	3 643	8.3	48	35.1	75	19.5
Box Butte -----	397	5.2	3 546	4.3	244	10.4	6 044	2.4	111	15.2	708	3.9
Boyd -----	331	6.5	517	19.5	119	17.9	468	3.1	18	48.6	15	28.6
Brown -----	253	6.1	574	10.8	122	13.2	2 249	1.4	25	23.5	166	.5
Buffalo -----	918	3.1	2 134	5.0	369	8.6	5 092	7.4	115	18.8	299	18.6
Burt -----	501	4.4	1 027	4.5	256	11.9	2 367	1.6	53	16.8	213	24.3
Butler -----	690	3.7	1 111	4.3	379	8.9	2 636	8.2	81	21.8	151	15.4
Cass -----	547	6.0	646	8.8	238	14.0	1 645	5.0	85	27.5	125	24.3
Cedar -----	943	3.6	1 504	5.1	374	9.8	2 723	4.9	66	28.2	183	12.6
Chase -----	335	3.3	2 813	5.9	153	11.3	2 972	5.4	86	20.5	252	14.6
Cherry -----	500	4.1	1 577	4.5	384	5.1	7 051	1.7	127	10.6	725	2.6
Cheyenne -----	503	5.0	1 497	8.7	194	15.9	1 999	4.6	85	29.0	428	29.9
Clay -----	524	4.9	1 356	4.3	220	11.1	8 410	1.6	84	20.4	231	16.6
Colfax -----	608	3.2	1 070	3.7	188	11.8	4 478	.8	32	39.0	46	15.4
Cuming -----	952	2.5	1 900	3.6	407	8.0	6 273	.7	62	17.7	398	12.8
Custer -----	1 112	2.9	2 840	5.3	517	7.6	7 747	1.4	186	15.2	699	10.0
Dakota -----	255	6.4	399	5.8	149	12.3	1 056	4.7	37	31.4	88	24.4
Dawes -----	370	5.3	404	7.1	141	11.1	635	9.8	75	22.0	77	12.1
Dawson -----	757	2.6	2 754	2.4	395	5.8	9 990	2.1	138	11.4	520	8.3
Deuel -----	183	7.1	449	24.3	68	12.7	693	7.0	28	42.3	75	52.1
Dixon -----	555	4.1	1 757	2.3	276	11.1	8 459	1.6	46	28.7	225	69.2
Dodge -----	702	4.1	1 150	4.0	326	8.8	2 648	4.4	54	23.4	77	23.3
Douglas -----	287	5.5	413	4.8	130	15.3	1 789	11.8	30	28.6	111	10.1
Dundy -----	236	6.0	1 661	5.5	155	8.8	2 156	3.7	27	16.9	597	.2
Fillmore -----	519	3.6	1 732	6.8	281	9.2	5 398	2.6	58	19.2	307	5.0
Franklin -----	332	6.7	656	7.1	176	12.6	1 808	7.1	21	—	97	—
Frontier -----	355	4.9	1 098	10.8	164	9.7	1 209	5.0	59	25.8	150	46.7
Furnas -----	386	5.8	839	5.3	204	10.3	2 836	5.3	65	24.3	144	42.4
Gage -----	950	3.1	1 302	4.9	506	7.3	2 323	3.7	77	21.6	157	13.8
Garden -----	274	3.7	916	9.3	137	12.7	2 605	3.4	33	28.1	123	10.2
Garfield -----	174	11.7	157	13.4	53	29.1	711	2.7	28	44.2	46	23.4
Gosper -----	231	7.0	604	18.3	164	11.4	1 689	9.2	37	18.0	140	14.0
Grant -----	70	1.8	143	.6	49	1.5	913	.2	14	—	104	—
Greeley -----	302	7.2	498	8.6	119	18.6	1 116	5.0	47	32.3	104	49.2
Hall -----	627	3.9	1 875	6.7	250	9.0	4 717	6.4	97	20.5	226	27.5
Hamilton -----	548	3.8	1 438	3.5	327	6.9	3 216	4.6	78	19.5	94	6.0
Harlan -----	307	6.8	487	6.8	129	16.2	1 680	6.6	38	33.2	36	10.5
Hayes -----	230	6.4	793	7.1	67	11.7	1 097	7.8	18	35.5	29	46.5
Hitchcock -----	296	7.6	846	20.8	133	19.3	785	23.2	90	26.4	161	36.6
Holt -----	1 060	3.6	2 812	3.2	454	8.6	10 439	1.7	101	16.7	549	15.8
Hooker -----	65	1.4	106	.8	43	1.6	562	1.0	17	2.1	48	1.4
Howard -----	541	5.3	697	7.0	185	14.4	1 339	2.1	29	36.3	60	13.8
Jefferson -----	520	5.8	801	7.5	306	11.1	1 832	4.9	37	32.0	60	18.0
Johnson -----	398	6.4	401	11.9	148	17.4	936	18.1	22	50.7	61	11.4
Kearney -----	412	5.8	1 414	13.1	264	9.7	4 330	7.0	60	26.7	394	25.9
Keith -----	294	4.8	1 544	15.5	152	13.7	4 619	5.2	44	32.5	150	12.8
Keya Paha -----	184	5.3	275	13.9	67	15.6	533	6.7	29	28.6	37	7.6
Kimball -----	215	6.8	636	11.0	98	16.6	1 012	5.3	38	31.3	117	35.7
Knox -----	925	3.4	1 390	3.6	345	10.4	2 267	3.1	84	23.8	156	28.2
Lancaster -----	933	4.4	844	6.1	439	9.0	2 300	7.4	96	23.1	284	56.2
Lincoln -----	828	3.2	2 508	6.8	433	5.9	6 445	4.1	153	13.2	852	47.8
Logan -----	106	6.5	208	6.3	65	8.9	940	2.4	12	26.0	32	9.4
Loup -----	116	4.4	144	5.9	49	12.2	619	4.4	18	23.6	68	4.1
McPherson -----	102	4.4	101	4.6	62	7.6	498	3.1	17	21.0	19	4.6
Madison -----	698	3.9	1 061	5.6	270	9.2	2 496	5.7	58	25.8	143	11.5
Merrick -----	531	5.0	1 451	5.7	177	11.9	3 693	1.6	33	31.0	101	18.9
Morrill -----	361	6.5	1 845	6.7	184	11.5	4 241	2.5	94	19.2	296	9.8
Nance -----	382	4.9	512	7.5	98	18.1	705	4.2	39	27.6	81	9.7
Nemaha -----	402	5.3	479	6.3	215	10.2	1 091	6.5	72	22.2	151	28.1

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-19

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nuckolls -----	465	5.5	648	7.8	198	15.1	1 491	7.7	31	30.9	35	2.7
Otoe -----	603	4.7	677	7.7	247	10.9	1 862	10.4	72	27.9	145	23.7
Pawnee -----	315	9.1	347	11.6	207	13.9	507	22.7	51	42.2	89	38.1
Perkins -----	351	5.8	2 406	5.4	219	7.1	1 759	9.3	95	15.1	364	12.3
Phelps -----	513	3.6	1 529	4.4	265	9.0	4 858	3.9	70	23.2	189	24.8
Pierce -----	654	3.3	1 103	3.9	254	11.7	2 369	3.9	102	22.2	135	10.3
Platte -----	950	4.2	1 882	5.5	405	9.3	3 257	4.3	56	32.8	162	31.7
Polk -----	501	4.7	962	4.5	248	9.3	3 158	5.5	70	20.4	102	6.3
Red Willow -----	333	7.1	1 111	8.0	157	11.8	2 089	4.7	38	38.6	34	8.5
Richardson -----	542	5.8	493	5.6	253	11.7	1 544	11.3	136	18.7	294	30.8
Rock -----	205	6.4	429	8.2	116	10.8	1 264	4.4	30	27.2	159	12.3
Saline -----	607	4.7	711	7.8	222	13.9	1 448	13.5	32	41.6	40	10.7
Sarpy -----	281	6.1	379	11.6	126	14.1	1 212	5.5	21	36.4	79	34.2
Saunders -----	1 031	3.4	1 388	5.8	440	9.3	4 536	9.3	66	24.0	227	10.8
Scotts Bluff -----	553	5.4	936	6.3	353	7.8	6 473	5.3	219	11.9	1 010	12.7
Seward -----	717	4.0	1 018	5.9	367	9.0	2 514	3.3	91	20.0	365	34.6
Sheridan -----	553	4.8	1 292	9.8	259	9.5	3 706	3.7	91	18.9	469	22.4
Sherman -----	388	6.5	439	9.4	72	23.0	637	15.9	42	31.9	53	27.6
Sioux -----	232	7.9	380	10.0	109	9.2	1 650	6.3	63	13.1	248	30.5
Stanton -----	457	5.3	747	7.8	164	14.7	1 573	10.0	25	22.4	105	33.9
Thayer -----	533	4.3	797	6.5	172	13.4	2 326	5.7	95	20.3	100	11.4
Thomas -----	78	2.2	104	.9	42	2.3	427	.5	17	2.9	25	1.1
Thurston -----	325	4.4	573	5.3	215	9.3	1 312	8.6	72	32.6	122	35.4
Valley -----	385	6.7	548	7.1	150	15.4	1 804	6.3	21	23.5	89	44.1
Washington -----	584	4.2	865	3.7	297	9.8	2 849	6.0	41	30.2	51	8.1
Wayne -----	570	3.0	919	4.1	219	9.0	2 693	4.1	54	27.1	134	14.1
Webster -----	359	5.9	503	8.3	160	15.9	1 953	20.0	18	61.5	43	25.8
Wheeler -----	156	10.3	386	7.2	78	18.7	2 964	.2	25	39.0	114	6.6
York -----	617	4.7	1 562	11.8	262	11.1	3 206	3.4	103	22.3	419	19.2
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	47 373	1.5	329 031	1.1	26 103	1.8	103 389	2.1	33 420	1.6	380 597	1.1
Adams -----	616	2.9	4 451	4.9	298	9.3	1 489	21.4	390	8.4	4 992	7.2
Antelope -----	827	3.5	5 768	5.2	467	8.0	1 909	11.2	646	5.4	7 817	5.3
Arthur -----	84	2.0	513	.7	30	2.0	178	3.8	63	2.1	639	1.2
Banner -----	193	3.1	2 152	9.5	90	14.2	911	20.7	109	14.6	1 800	8.3
Blaine -----	97	6.3	604	4.5	34	12.3	148	5.3	76	7.7	1 072	5.8
Boone -----	742	2.9	4 604	5.1	438	7.5	1 580	10.4	528	6.3	6 936	4.8
Box Butte -----	416	4.2	4 849	3.5	266	8.1	2 551	8.0	302	8.8	4 745	4.5
Boyd -----	327	5.5	1 397	7.1	164	15.7	207	18.6	253	9.0	1 510	11.2
Brown -----	317	2.4	2 208	3.0	133	14.2	1 010	17.7	203	6.2	3 110	8.0
Buffalo -----	1 005	2.7	6 849	5.0	544	7.2	1 865	12.8	717	5.1	7 119	5.9
Burt -----	553	3.3	4 427	6.7	333	10.2	969	10.7	319	9.5	3 172	5.3
Butler -----	767	2.7	4 389	5.7	409	8.2	961	7.4	541	6.4	4 391	6.4
Cass -----	637	4.2	3 504	6.2	223	14.2	1 079	27.6	411	8.5	3 792	11.2
Cedar -----	950	3.5	5 785	5.2	551	7.4	1 430	9.2	692	5.8	6 043	5.1
Chase -----	334	2.6	3 917	5.2	212	8.2	2 701	20.9	297	4.9	4 921	6.6
Cherry -----	571	3.9	6 094	3.4	173	9.2	1 007	5.0	480	5.0	6 619	2.7
Cheyenne -----	582	4.0	3 184	6.7	329	9.9	2 056	12.0	371	8.3	3 899	11.1
Clay -----	518	5.1	6 090	6.0	270	10.9	1 024	11.0	370	7.9	4 639	4.6
Colfax -----	636	2.8	3 816	4.1	358	8.0	1 027	16.0	427	6.9	4 921	4.8
Cuming -----	1 002	2.1	7 170	2.7	603	5.5	2 300	6.2	751	4.1	9 043	3.1
Custer -----	1 136	2.7	8 977	3.7	579	7.0	2 388	8.7	763	4.8	10 059	4.9
Dakota -----	223	8.2	1 423	5.9	193	9.4	1 038	15.9	172	12.3	1 840	7.0
Dawes -----	405	4.3	1 906	10.4	170	16.6	405	10.7	269	7.7	1 845	12.1
Dawson -----	759	3.0	7 920	3.6	443	5.8	2 981	6.2	572	4.3	9 133	4.0
Deuel -----	189	8.5	1 263	8.3	134	12.5	993	21.4	129	14.3	970	17.0
Dixon -----	543	4.7	4 615	5.6	364	9.2	575	14.4	382	9.2	3 470	10.9
Dodge -----	808	2.2	4 848	4.1	428	7.4	1 340	12.0	605	4.9	4 982	5.0
Douglas -----	345	4.6	1 842	6.3	213	11.8	399	19.1	171	14.2	1 220	11.0
Dundy -----	287	3.7	2 890	4.1	156	10.1	1 400	11.8	208	9.0	4 869	5.1
Fillmore -----	570	2.9	5 600	6.1	331	8.7	1 746	17.6	367	7.4	4 886	8.6
Franklin -----	392	5.6	3 171	6.9	292	9.3	883	9.9	260	9.4	3 095	7.5
Frontier -----	384	4.0	2 912	4.9	193	12.3	854	15.7	250	9.2	3 302	7.3
Furnas -----	412	4.9	3 183	5.8	280	8.7	947	11.9	322	7.1	4 785	6.4
Gage -----	1 053	2.3	5 906	5.1	575	6.7	1 411	14.0	771	4.5	6 183	6.1
Garden -----	269	4.4	2 271	8.9	126	12.6	912	11.1	160	12.5	1 927	4.5
Garfield -----	216	5.3	1 074	9.7	39	31.7	166	6.2	146	12.7	1 279	13.2
Gosper -----	240	5.4	2 323	8.0	158	11.3	906	26.6	205	8.2	2 433	9.8
Grant -----	77	1.9	588	.6	26	1.4	178	.1	59	1.9	680	.6
Greeley -----	375	4.3	2 297	7.7	221	12.6	808	17.7	275	7.9	2 396	11.3

See footnotes at end of table.

C-20 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Hall -----	654	3.8	5 219	4.7	387	8.3	2 340	12.9	453	7.2	6 149	3.6
Hamilton -----	594	3.1	5 911	3.5	320	9.0	1 552	12.7	548	4.4	7 364	4.0
Harlan -----	347	3.3	2 403	8.5	208	11.1	825	19.6	226	8.9	3 267	7.6
Hayes -----	234	7.4	2 144	3.4	116	13.8	533	15.1	191	10.5	2 585	7.5
Hitchcock -----	371	2.1	2 037	8.7	219	7.9	791	29.0	246	9.5	2 437	15.8
Holt -----	1 166	2.9	8 889	3.3	527	8.8	3 127	10.1	855	5.4	10 257	4.3
Hooker -----	67	1.6	380	.8	21	1.2	85	.2	59	1.7	573	.9
Howard -----	590	3.3	2 917	7.3	326	9.4	888	13.7	415	7.4	3 364	6.7
Jefferson -----	616	3.7	3 840	7.2	357	9.8	748	11.5	420	7.5	4 029	14.3
Johnson -----	406	6.1	1 154	9.4	277	10.9	589	19.8	270	11.4	1 585	15.9
Kearney -----	447	4.4	4 522	6.3	239	11.7	1 659	19.6	350	6.9	5 930	7.2
Keith -----	307	4.8	2 796	6.0	199	11.7	1 544	12.5	222	9.5	3 519	5.0
Keya Paha -----	206	1.2	1 169	7.1	111	11.3	293	12.7	135	11.7	1 660	8.7
Kimball -----	248	6.0	1 242	6.4	128	12.4	929	17.2	185	8.3	1 625	9.4
Knox -----	953	3.2	5 439	4.7	517	7.9	1 349	8.6	685	5.8	5 688	5.5
Lancaster -----	1 191	2.6	4 862	5.6	506	8.4	1 050	12.4	675	6.4	4 613	9.9
Lincoln -----	877	2.7	7 266	3.6	429	7.4	2 876	14.5	670	4.9	9 638	4.2
Logan -----	102	5.9	921	3.4	67	9.4	319	10.8	80	7.6	1 099	6.0
Loup -----	123	4.1	710	6.4	69	8.8	162	15.0	99	6.5	919	9.4
McPherson -----	108	3.6	630	4.0	37	13.4	123	6.2	83	6.6	796	5.8
Madison -----	743	3.2	4 753	5.2	401	8.3	1 088	7.8	550	5.9	5 272	5.5
Merrick -----	559	4.3	4 462	4.4	345	9.5	984	7.9	427	6.8	5 267	5.3
Morrill -----	411	3.7	3 256	3.9	267	7.7	1 382	6.5	300	7.2	4 292	4.0
Nance -----	379	6.5	2 502	10.0	262	10.8	615	14.5	255	10.4	3 007	8.3
Nemaha -----	450	3.7	2 412	5.9	319	7.5	926	11.6	284	8.3	2 823	8.5
Nuckolls -----	473	5.2	2 675	5.0	298	10.6	726	15.6	384	7.6	3 253	8.3
Otoe -----	693	3.7	3 651	6.1	335	9.6	751	15.9	396	8.4	2 935	13.9
Pawnee -----	367	7.9	1 816	9.7	183	15.3	380	18.4	297	10.3	1 561	6.5
Perkins -----	404	5.2	3 181	4.0	312	8.2	2 247	9.6	313	7.6	3 413	6.4
Phelps -----	523	3.2	5 583	3.9	355	7.8	2 440	9.6	416	5.9	9 283	7.4
Pierce -----	700	3.1	4 878	5.2	414	8.8	989	7.3	530	5.9	4 723	6.1
Platte -----	1 005	3.6	7 054	8.9	498	8.3	1 476	11.7	741	5.5	9 823	9.6
Polk -----	597	2.2	4 447	4.5	355	7.5	1 051	13.3	420	6.4	4 498	6.5
Red Willow -----	388	5.0	2 826	10.7	255	9.4	1 034	10.8	283	7.9	4 297	7.1
Richardson -----	625	4.2	3 093	7.4	387	8.2	1 286	17.4	387	8.2	3 205	9.3
Rock -----	273	4.0	2 012	6.6	57	18.8	373	13.9	188	8.7	2 278	5.7
Saline -----	678	3.6	3 295	6.6	447	8.2	813	15.0	357	9.5	3 810	15.2
Sarpy -----	310	4.6	1 536	6.5	175	12.7	471	19.9	188	11.2	2 047	8.1
Saunders -----	1 112	2.8	5 675	5.7	542	7.7	1 067	11.2	712	5.1	6 401	8.8
Scotts Bluff -----	716	3.4	4 695	5.7	364	8.9	1 561	8.8	511	6.5	5 494	6.0
Seward -----	785	3.3	4 594	5.7	431	8.3	1 667	12.2	567	6.1	4 575	8.4
Sheridan -----	600	3.4	3 965	6.4	363	6.9	1 298	11.2	361	8.8	3 201	6.3
Sherman -----	450	3.8	2 415	10.4	259	9.2	1 590	55.1	321	7.6	3 088	8.8
Sioux -----	293	4.3	1 764	3.8	82	13.5	430	11.1	215	8.5	2 277	5.7
Stanton -----	524	2.8	3 204	8.5	288	8.7	886	15.2	344	7.5	3 779	8.1
Thayer -----	574	3.2	4 227	5.3	391	7.1	1 562	21.2	456	5.5	5 133	6.4
Thomas -----	81	2.2	396	.6	22	2.4	62	5.5	61	2.5	545	1.0
Thurston -----	333	5.3	2 094	11.0	247	8.0	678	10.3	280	8.2	2 064	5.6
Valley -----	430	5.3	3 010	9.8	208	13.4	588	12.6	282	10.6	2 972	10.3
Washington -----	634	3.2	3 808	6.8	354	8.7	531	12.9	384	6.4	3 951	10.8
Wayne -----	588	2.6	3 818	5.8	358	7.5	1 114	8.8	421	6.1	4 408	5.1
Webster -----	392	4.0	3 325	6.4	191	13.1	846	15.5	256	7.6	3 750	12.8
Wheeler -----	162	7.9	1 390	6.7	106	15.5	597	3.6	123	13.9	7 669	3.4
York -----	657	4.0	5 985	4.4	326	9.4	1 369	9.3	511	5.6	7 865	6.6
Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	19 285	1.8	261 509	1.5	47 454	1.4	182 849	1.2	50 950	1.5	450 793	.9
Adams -----	216	12.0	3 027	9.1	563	4.2	2 177	8.5	644	2.0	6 783	5.0
Antelope -----	303	10.8	2 636	8.7	789	4.0	3 030	6.5	842	3.1	6 625	4.6
Arthur -----	41	2.2	600	2.6	84	2.1	464	.6	92	2.0	1 185	.4
Banner -----	67	17.2	919	9.7	190	2.9	940	5.8	200	1.1	2 492	9.0
Blaine -----	57	9.2	1 177	3.9	107	4.3	631	3.6	112	3.7	1 509	2.4
Boone -----	272	11.4	4 434	14.6	711	3.6	2 693	6.1	759	2.6	6 142	5.2
Box Butte -----	173	14.6	2 392	7.7	433	5.4	2 036	4.8	481	3.7	6 757	3.8
Boyd -----	125	16.8	832	16.9	337	6.1	656	12.9	375	4.1	1 798	6.0
Brown -----	142	10.9	2 688	9.8	262	6.8	1 045	5.2	311	3.2	4 061	3.7
Buffalo -----	306	10.9	5 980	13.9	991	3.0	3 348	7.3	1 061	2.2	7 750	3.9
Burt -----	276	11.1	3 600	10.7	506	4.9	2 003	7.4	581	1.9	5 805	4.8
Butler -----	233	11.5	3 316	8.0	697	3.8	2 662	7.0	785	2.3	5 768	5.2
Cass -----	202	13.8	2 104	8.7	693	3.0	2 631	8.6	704	2.8	3 921	4.2
Cedar -----	422	8.2	5 543	6.1	958	3.6	2 389	5.7	980	3.2	7 871	5.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Chase -----	141	7.0	4 359	18.1	327	4.2	2 020	7.8	360	2.2	5 407	2.7
Cherry -----	300	7.6	5 031	3.7	607	3.2	3 844	1.8	650	2.2	9 835	1.8
Cheyenne -----	134	18.3	1 101	16.3	562	4.6	2 310	8.3	598	2.9	4 370	9.1
Clay -----	246	10.7	4 664	10.3	552	3.7	2 599	6.9	592	2.8	7 711	3.5
Colfax -----	229	8.5	3 579	16.6	620	3.0	1 690	7.0	635	2.3	7 196	3.1
Cuming -----	479	7.0	5 767	7.0	993	2.2	3 223	3.9	1 059	1.4	11 283	2.2
Custer -----	553	6.8	8 064	6.3	1 154	2.6	5 190	3.9	1 293	1.5	12 114	6.8
Dakota -----	139	14.4	1 868	13.6	263	5.5	1 146	6.5	296	2.0	2 101	5.1
Dawes -----	169	14.4	1 402	15.7	414	3.4	942	7.7	435	2.7	2 102	8.9
Dawson -----	422	7.0	11 476	5.7	785	2.6	3 705	5.7	830	1.8	14 821	2.4
Deuel -----	61	25.5	507	20.7	189	6.9	992	11.2	236	3.0	1 110	8.0
Dixon -----	186	16.5	1 801	13.1	571	4.1	2 266	6.3	591	3.0	5 001	6.4
Dodge -----	316	8.6	4 333	10.1	776	3.0	2 801	5.8	844	1.6	6 120	4.0
Douglas -----	121	16.2	1 491	13.4	344	4.7	999	10.1	349	3.4	2 100	4.6
Dundy -----	91	10.3	1 645	6.7	263	5.0	2 000	5.1	300	2.2	4 954	2.8
Fillmore -----	288	8.4	5 165	8.0	540	3.8	2 547	5.6	602	1.9	7 597	3.3
Franklin -----	143	15.5	1 755	19.9	372	6.5	1 770	7.8	424	3.7	3 525	5.5
Frontier -----	157	13.5	2 463	13.7	394	3.2	2 235	6.6	420	1.6	3 194	5.6
Furnas -----	176	12.2	2 377	7.9	426	4.2	1 537	7.3	442	3.5	5 061	5.7
Gage -----	438	7.9	3 735	11.1	1 050	2.3	3 653	5.6	1 116	1.6	6 307	4.5
Garden -----	147	11.0	1 793	8.3	279	4.0	1 856	5.3	289	2.4	4 046	3.2
Garfield -----	72	25.5	995	13.8	206	6.4	537	12.7	228	2.4	2 027	10.4
Gosper -----	176	9.7	3 201	14.1	223	6.6	1 274	13.9	266	4.1	3 059	7.6
Grant -----	34	1.9	402	1.0	77	1.8	585	.4	81	1.8	1 003	.3
Greeley -----	163	14.1	1 712	17.8	348	5.4	1 403	8.2	393	2.9	2 829	11.0
Hall -----	313	8.2	5 241	7.9	632	4.1	2 275	5.8	700	2.8	7 137	4.2
Hamilton -----	299	8.8	5 107	16.5	569	4.0	3 341	5.5	639	2.0	8 401	3.3
Harlan -----	137	15.7	1 142	10.7	352	4.7	1 800	11.2	373	3.0	4 156	3.9
Hayes -----	112	16.6	928	8.7	245	6.2	1 185	8.2	273	2.2	4 574	2.8
Hitchcock -----	76	18.7	870	11.2	328	6.0	1 281	12.5	371	2.5	2 633	10.7
Holt -----	438	9.2	8 704	8.7	1 103	3.1	3 758	4.5	1 202	2.6	11 127	3.6
Hooker -----	39	1.2	374	.2	66	1.8	290	.4	74	1.6	718	1.1
Howard -----	236	12.0	2 239	17.5	543	4.8	1 878	9.5	616	3.7	3 740	5.2
Jefferson -----	249	12.4	2 605	10.9	579	4.7	2 569	9.5	673	2.0	4 667	13.6
Johnson -----	136	17.8	555	19.4	446	4.3	1 112	7.8	454	4.0	1 858	5.4
Kearney -----	203	12.7	3 097	16.2	445	3.7	2 191	10.6	495	1.9	7 227	4.1
Keith -----	110	15.4	2 412	5.2	318	4.4	1 882	10.6	339	2.4	4 788	7.8
Keya Paha -----	79	14.9	1 202	11.3	186	1.1	691	5.1	197	4.3	1 868	10.7
Kimball -----	71	19.9	600	16.8	274	3.6	1 065	11.0	281	3.0	1 777	6.9
Knox -----	336	9.9	2 449	10.7	1 011	2.6	2 884	5.4	1 068	1.8	7 146	5.2
Lancaster -----	355	9.3	2 589	14.7	1 281	1.9	3 710	5.5	1 245	2.1	4 886	8.1
Lincoln -----	406	7.1	6 753	8.8	925	2.0	4 242	4.4	1 009	1.5	11 177	3.3
Logan -----	55	10.0	1 146	6.6	124	4.0	693	3.3	128	3.3	1 400	3.1
Loup -----	56	10.9	692	9.5	118	4.5	626	7.1	128	3.0	1 604	9.3
McPherson -----	55	7.5	767	5.5	113	3.6	387	3.7	117	2.7	1 055	4.5
Madison -----	335	8.6	4 167	9.4	752	3.2	2 198	6.2	785	2.3	5 220	3.1
Merrick -----	273	11.9	3 176	12.5	583	3.2	2 347	7.6	605	2.4	5 967	6.3
Morrill -----	144	16.0	2 315	9.3	416	3.5	1 765	6.3	447	1.9	5 678	2.7
Nance -----	142	11.7	2 933	19.6	425	3.6	1 405	7.8	427	3.6	3 638	5.0
Nemaha -----	152	15.3	1 443	14.7	468	4.1	1 561	9.3	480	2.3	2 769	6.0
Nuckolls -----	220	13.8	1 895	11.5	490	4.7	1 944	8.5	527	3.0	2 758	3.6
Otoe -----	235	12.1	1 183	15.9	694	3.3	2 228	7.6	758	2.5	4 444	4.4
Pawnee -----	157	17.9	965	19.4	387	7.6	1 376	8.2	442	4.1	1 845	6.7
Perkins -----	187	9.8	3 339	14.7	403	3.8	1 840	4.4	457	2.0	4 235	4.0
Phelps -----	268	9.8	6 216	8.2	479	5.1	2 919	8.9	564	1.7	9 314	3.1
Pierce -----	266	11.3	3 081	18.5	674	3.3	2 196	6.2	675	3.3	5 463	3.8
Platte -----	468	8.3	5 596	6.4	1 039	3.4	3 509	6.8	1 077	3.0	7 758	3.4
Polk -----	194	12.7	3 005	15.2	549	3.7	2 121	8.3	616	1.7	5 116	3.1
Red Willow -----	139	11.1	1 453	7.9	395	4.3	1 992	5.9	425	1.9	4 411	6.8
Richardson -----	176	14.5	1 050	12.9	642	3.8	1 885	7.2	704	2.6	3 064	4.1
Rock -----	150	11.8	2 074	9.6	276	4.1	1 168	4.7	305	1.9	2 935	4.3
Saline -----	265	12.3	2 546	16.6	666	4.1	2 052	6.3	696	3.2	3 205	5.7
Sarpy -----	123	13.0	1 322	14.1	329	4.3	988	11.0	348	3.3	1 790	6.1
Saunders -----	440	9.0	4 821	8.1	1 090	3.1	3 860	7.6	1 158	2.4	5 595	3.9
Scotts Bluff -----	244	11.0	2 836	16.0	717	3.7	2 341	6.1	794	2.1	7 379	3.9
Seward -----	253	10.8	2 821	12.8	793	3.1	2 564	5.2	849	2.3	4 910	4.9
Sheridan -----	236	10.9	2 760	7.8	593	3.2	1 934	5.7	658	1.7	5 792	4.2
Sherman -----	144	19.2	1 218	7.2	446	3.9	1 539	8.0	474	2.5	3 303	10.3
Sioux -----	121	12.8	1 508	6.8	294	3.6	856	4.5	327	1.0	2 479	5.8
Stanton -----	236	11.0	2 195	18.8	521	3.0	1 516	7.4	539	2.2	3 954	5.9
Thayer -----	177	12.7	2 779	10.6	595	2.4	2 913	7.1	591	2.3	4 587	8.6
Thomas -----	38	1.8	474	.5	88	2.3	344	.8	96	2.3	580	.6
Thurston -----	239	7.4	3 286	6.5	370	3.1	1 048	6.0	386	2.0	2 659	6.2
Valley -----	188	15.2	2 424	17.4	435	4.7	1 661	12.5	478	3.4	4 257	7.7
Washington -----	286	9.8	3 756	9.7	675	2.8	2 032	5.6	686	2.4	4 671	4.7
Wayne -----	294	8.4	3 894	6.7	585	2.8	1 715	7.0	604	2.4	4 732	3.2
Webster -----	163	15.9	1 957	23.6	419	4.0	1 348	13.1	419	3.3	3 961	3.7
Wheeler -----	61	23.2	862	6.2	181	6.3	761	10.2	182	6.4	10 608	1.7
York -----	294	10.0	6 751	9.5	671	3.9	3 234	5.7	733	2.2	6 435	3.4

See footnotes at end of table.

C-22 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	52 920	1.4	1 462 607	1.0	46 348	1.4	22 402 132	1.0	43 879	1.4	16 146 818	.9
Adams -----	657	1.5	23 444	6.1	605	1.3	290 442	1.1	584	1.4	238 108	1.0
Antelope -----	890	2.6	23 227	6.7	786	2.5	367 527	1.8	734	2.5	284 044	1.7
Arthur -----	93	2.0	2 735	.7	71	1.4	49 270	1.3	65	1.5	33 567	1.0
Banner -----	200	1.1	4 018	17.6	177	1.0	197 571	.7	159	1.1	81 734	.7
Blaine -----	116	2.6	3 250	5.5	80	2.1	55 588	1.3	72	2.1	42 371	.6
Boone -----	787	2.1	15 024	10.0	701	1.8	323 129	1.3	677	1.9	241 939	1.2
Box Butte -----	515	1.5	19 625	4.9	458	1.4	380 072	1.0	429	1.4	215 832	.8
Boyd -----	395	3.1	5 362	12.2	328	3.2	133 908	2.9	312	3.2	96 627	2.7
Brown -----	332	1.0	7 028	10.1	273	1.1	136 630	1.0	246	1.2	98 107	.8
Buffalo -----	1 097	1.8	29 523	4.9	934	1.7	381 367	1.4	883	1.7	280 579	1.3
Burt -----	588	1.5	22 926	4.5	532	1.4	245 606	1.2	511	1.4	209 619	1.1
Butler -----	805	1.9	22 724	5.8	724	1.8	293 645	1.3	705	1.8	247 309	1.3
Cass -----	720	2.5	18 892	7.5	648	2.5	257 741	1.9	611	2.5	225 912	1.9
Cedar -----	1 040	2.7	25 286	5.5	892	2.8	359 396	2.2	844	2.8	278 974	2.1
Chase -----	367	1.5	8 148	7.8	329	1.1	319 652	.6	309	1.2	205 219	.6
Cherry -----	676	.8	23 765	3.8	495	.8	407 033	.4	452	.7	348 505	.2
Cheyenne -----	667	1.4	7 528	15.6	620	1.4	570 726	1.1	588	1.5	248 961	1.1
Clay -----	593	2.8	27 729	3.4	531	2.4	296 167	1.7	517	2.4	251 559	1.6
Colfax -----	693	1.2	24 942	6.3	608	1.8	203 808	1.3	584	1.8	177 389	1.3
Cuming -----	1 079	.9	79 137	1.6	931	.9	295 877	.7	909	.9	261 363	.7
Custer -----	1 321	1.2	19 947	11.4	1 041	1.3	485 982	.9	974	1.3	333 526	.7
Dakota -----	296	2.0	6 652	9.3	268	1.4	121 499	1.0	249	1.5	99 048	1.0
Dawes -----	446	1.5	5 734	11.1	378	1.4	190 954	1.3	343	1.4	92 188	1.0
Dawson -----	876	1.0	26 672	6.8	726	1.2	351 832	.9	688	1.2	268 445	.7
Deuel -----	244	1.4	326	(H)	232	1.2	216 167	1.0	207	1.3	90 898	1.1
Dixon -----	609	2.4	20 420	4.8	542	2.0	202 412	1.6	498	2.0	140 101	1.4
Dodge -----	855	.9	28 746	4.6	759	1.0	275 491	.7	737	1.0	254 288	.7
Douglas -----	388	1.1	9 312	6.3	336	1.0	86 141	1.4	309	1.1	77 762	1.3
Dundy -----	308	1.5	13 491	4.8	283	1.2	230 003	.8	259	1.3	136 789	.8
Fillmore -----	636	1.5	27 166	4.0	587	1.4	313 099	1.0	580	1.4	269 331	1.0
Franklin -----	444	2.6	11 748	7.5	377	3.0	191 138	2.6	349	3.1	135 858	2.3
Frontier -----	420	1.6	8 072	12.6	373	1.5	231 617	1.2	362	1.5	149 321	1.1
Furnas -----	459	3.0	15 105	5.6	410	2.9	287 315	2.1	399	2.9	171 580	1.9
Gage -----	1 140	1.4	24 239	5.9	1 025	1.5	410 715	1.2	987	1.5	322 637	1.2
Garden -----	297	2.0	5 936	12.4	257	2.2	213 111	1.8	239	2.3	127 635	1.4
Garfield -----	228	2.4	4 981	15.4	176	3.0	89 449	2.2	158	3.0	58 053	1.6
Gosper -----	282	1.4	5 639	14.1	236	1.3	141 974	1.4	219	1.4	95 370	1.2
Grant -----	82	1.8	2 522	.9	60	1.3	71 395	.3	55	1.3	45 874	.2
Greeley -----	393	2.9	8 153	11.1	334	1.9	156 797	1.5	323	1.9	102 075	1.4
Hall -----	743	1.6	18 503	6.3	647	1.6	255 814	1.1	619	1.6	212 835	1.1
Hamilton -----	664	1.4	28 631	3.9	606	1.5	297 044	1.1	597	1.5	257 399	1.1
Harlan -----	385	2.2	17 485	7.1	345	2.2	209 297	1.9	319	2.3	133 176	1.8
Hayes -----	273	2.2	13 130	5.5	228	1.7	195 200	1.2	211	1.6	101 039	1.2
Hitchcock -----	379	1.4	6 432	17.8	331	1.5	250 985	1.3	314	1.5	126 259	1.1
Holt -----	1 265	2.2	29 621	6.6	1 064	1.7	651 450	1.2	971	1.7	467 424	1.0
Hooker -----	76	1.6	1 146	1.6	39	1.1	14 796	.2	30	—	12 140	—
Howard -----	658	2.0	9 806	12.3	581	2.0	210 137	1.6	554	1.9	150 784	1.5
Jefferson -----	683	1.4	13 244	7.1	614	1.5	256 301	1.3	583	1.5	193 913	1.3
Johnson -----	488	2.4	6 550	15.0	440	2.7	141 208	3.0	415	2.8	84 960	3.1
Kearney -----	502	1.5	26 660	8.8	459	1.3	263 573	1.0	449	1.3	218 715	1.0
Keith -----	347	1.3	12 519	9.8	295	1.3	246 494	1.0	272	1.3	143 477	.8
Keya Paha -----	206	1.2	3 949	14.2	168	1.6	116 778	1.1	160	1.5	82 736	1.0
Kimball -----	293	1.4	3 343	18.9	259	1.2	337 887	.8	241	1.3	135 411	.8
Knox -----	1 086	1.6	27 758	5.1	949	1.7	363 369	1.5	891	1.7	244 677	1.4
Lancaster -----	1 362	.9	17 755	9.6	1 198	.9	349 904	1.0	1 129	.9	278 854	1.0
Lincoln -----	1 031	1.0	24 088	5.1	825	1.2	464 028	.8	740	1.2	300 328	.8
Logan -----	133	2.3	2 408	7.0	103	2.4	56 642	1.8	84	2.7	39 578	1.6
Loup -----	134	2.3	2 444	8.9	112	2.0	50 475	1.9	111	2.0	38 793	1.8
McPherson -----	121	1.7	2 076	8.5	85	1.4	45 766	.9	80	1.4	32 992	1.0
Madison -----	825	1.9	20 297	5.7	738	1.6	273 955	1.2	679	1.6	227 889	1.2
Merrick -----	617	2.2	20 351	6.8	562	2.0	234 809	1.5	541	2.0	191 996	1.4
Morrill -----	458	1.4	18 133	3.9	392	1.5	224 206	1.0	372	1.5	154 075	.8
Nance -----	440	3.1	9 657	10.4	401	2.9	171 331	2.3	387	2.9	120 832	2.4
Nemaha -----	511	1.9	14 546	5.6	473	1.6	189 314	1.4	454	1.6	155 882	1.4
Nuckolls -----	541	2.6	10 344	9.9	483	2.6	255 048	2.2	468	2.7	175 446	2.0
Otoe -----	804	1.7	19 814	5.7	738	1.4	269 908	1.3	698	1.4	218 718	1.3
Pawnee -----	463	3.0	7 012	12.0	418	1.8	157 642	1.6	399	1.9	96 255	1.5
Perkins -----	479	1.2	5 986	13.9	452	1.2	450 965	.7	431	1.2	242 334	.7
Phelps -----	578	1.1	31 669	5.9	530	1.3	308 022	.8	514	1.3	255 245	.8
Pierce -----	726	2.4	19 916	7.6	646	2.3	255 242	1.8	623	2.3	205 827	1.7
Platte -----	1 098	2.7	34 593	5.8	966	2.6	353 565	2.0	938	2.7	310 809	2.0
Polk -----	625	1.3	20 041	5.1	565	1.4	218 029	1.2	554	1.4	186 821	1.2
Red Willow -----	425	1.9	9 914	9.3	361	1.9	254 350	1.5	340	1.9	156 775	1.3
Richardson -----	712	2.3	13 798	7.0	655	2.5	237 876	2.1	627	2.5	186 745	2.0
Rock -----	311	1.3	9 128	6.5	258	1.4	198 465	1.0	219	1.5	127 847	.8
Saline -----	742	2.5	15 611	8.4	673	2.6	264 736	2.5	657	2.6	213 172	2.4
Sarpy -----	362	1.6	8 703	7.0	330	1.5	94 534	1.6	320	1.5	85 411	1.7
Saunders -----	1 234	1.4	35 997	5.0	1 139	1.8	383 206	1.4	1 084	1.8	339 952	1.4
Scotts Bluff -----	820	1.7	31 751	3.7	730	1.5	240 349	1.2	681	1.6	184 496	1.2

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-23

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Seward -----	879	1.6	24 401	4.4	777	1.3	274 777	1.1	738	1.3	230 961	1.1
Sheridan -----	659	1.7	12 473	5.7	549	1.4	365 335	1.0	505	1.4	184 101	.8
Sherman -----	499	1.7	3 845	29.3	410	1.9	171 304	1.9	385	1.9	99 771	1.9
Sioux -----	327	1.0	9 526	3.8	251	1.2	91 773	1.1	232	1.3	61 545	1.0
Stanton -----	557	1.4	16 463	6.7	509	1.2	176 127	1.2	469	1.2	136 584	1.1
Thayer -----	623	1.5	7 312	14.4	572	1.8	282 991	1.5	562	1.8	220 458	1.4
Thomas -----	97	2.3	1 754	1.1	53	2.1	14 193	3.7	43	2.2	8 976	.5
Thurston -----	386	2.0	11 629	9.0	360	1.7	179 441	1.1	336	1.7	143 354	1.1
Valley -----	488	2.9	11 777	8.8	409	2.6	170 462	2.2	385	2.6	102 962	1.9
Washington -----	727	1.6	24 047	4.3	647	1.3	205 244	1.3	612	1.4	182 881	1.2
Wayne -----	630	1.5	18 016	3.8	582	1.3	221 478	1.0	534	1.3	182 030	1.0
Webster -----	449	1.9	14 779	10.1	380	1.6	194 601	1.5	360	1.7	128 593	1.3
Wheeler -----	200	1.6	3 892	19.0	156	1.6	104 598	1.4	146	1.7	66 467	1.1
York -----	765	1.8	38 708	4.4	702	1.6	304 862	1.2	690	1.6	267 621	1.2
Irrigated land												
Geographic area	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
	Farms		Acres		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	19 328	1.3	6 311 633	.8	30 421	1.4	5 952 880	.5	24 270	1.4	1 857 347	.8
Adams -----	471	1.5	164 399	1.0	293	1.6	75 026	.4	253	1.8	9 335	2.0
Antelope -----	477	2.4	162 014	1.6	570	2.5	83 535	1.4	368	3.0	21 319	2.4
Arthur -----	29	2.0	8 656	3.1	78	1.2	35 594	.4	74	1.3	19 833	.7
Banner -----	66	2.1	19 442	1.5	113	1.6	40 678	.6	98	1.7	10 911	1.5
Blaine -----	27	1.7	7 687	.9	96	2.2	39 716	.6	89	2.2	19 041	.6
Boone -----	364	2.0	116 578	1.3	487	1.7	75 756	.8	358	1.9	22 975	1.3
Box Butte -----	274	1.5	130 163	.8	244	1.6	68 307	.4	190	1.7	(D)	(D)
Boyd -----	20	7.1	4 129	6.4	314	3.1	43 043	2.4	274	3.2	19 184	2.6
Brown -----	144	1.8	49 143	1.2	229	1.3	84 398	.5	185	1.6	29 381	.9
Buffalo -----	681	2.0	208 166	1.3	658	1.8	105 774	1.0	547	1.9	35 912	1.5
Burt -----	131	2.2	34 348	1.8	214	1.8	33 080	1.1	145	2.3	6 525	2.0
Butler -----	270	2.1	82 362	1.4	425	1.6	34 057	1.0	356	1.8	11 087	1.5
Cass -----	17	7.6	2 381	4.7	355	2.7	21 643	2.2	300	2.9	7 400	2.7
Cedar -----	170	2.7	51 170	1.8	698	2.9	90 611	1.8	473	3.1	19 893	2.8
Chase -----	239	1.2	158 256	.6	182	1.4	57 118	.6	147	1.7	(D)	(D)
Cherry -----	99	1.2	31 498	.5	604	.8	317 731	.2	573	.8	169 536	.2
Cheyenne -----	171	2.2	44 654	1.6	254	1.5	57 135	.5	192	1.7	12 557	1.2
Clay -----	429	2.5	174 193	1.5	239	3.0	50 374	.8	199	3.3	(D)	(D)
Colfax -----	159	2.2	41 032	1.3	364	1.8	82 454	.5	232	2.2	6 537	2.2
Cuming -----	120	1.7	26 333	.8	564	1.0	216 895	.2	318	1.5	20 007	.9
Custer -----	560	1.3	180 812	.7	1 008	1.4	246 905	.7	873	1.4	90 391	1.0
Dakota -----	28	3.4	13 669	1.3	129	2.2	11 314	1.7	107	2.5	5 199	1.7
Dawes -----	84	2.2	14 515	1.9	344	1.5	55 679	.7	320	1.5	30 642	.9
Dawson -----	587	1.2	207 559	.8	487	1.3	217 793	.3	390	1.5	38 388	1.1
Deuel -----	51	2.9	16 423	2.3	74	2.6	9 704	1.9	64	2.9	(D)	(D)
Dixon -----	36	4.3	8 341	3.2	346	2.1	45 164	1.1	272	2.3	9 785	2.2
Dodge -----	244	1.4	69 600	1.1	324	1.4	38 209	.6	207	1.7	5 875	1.6
Douglas -----	62	2.9	13 108	2.6	104	2.5	11 972	1.1	66	3.4	1 766	4.7
Dundy -----	188	1.4	89 431	.8	196	1.4	73 040	.4	165	1.6	16 690	.9
Fillmore -----	410	1.6	172 827	1.0	268	1.8	30 072	1.2	213	2.1	6 689	2.4
Franklin -----	247	3.2	73 268	2.2	277	3.1	40 997	1.9	247	3.2	18 789	2.2
Frontier -----	196	1.8	54 024	1.4	325	1.6	52 761	1.1	313	1.6	30 528	1.1
Furnas -----	194	3.1	42 528	2.3	318	2.9	50 283	1.5	270	3.1	17 123	2.3
Gage -----	204	1.9	41 081	1.6	664	1.5	46 149	1.2	451	1.8	13 003	2.0
Garden -----	109	2.7	30 958	1.5	171	2.1	73 899	.8	149	2.1	34 554	.7
Garfield -----	67	4.5	10 405	3.3	181	2.9	48 593	1.3	158	3.1	17 968	2.0
Gosper -----	164	1.8	60 387	1.4	184	1.6	35 949	1.4	167	1.8	(D)	(D)
Grant -----	9	—	1 970	—	75	1.0	39 110	.2	70	1.1	(D)	(D)
Greeley -----	207	2.0	58 882	1.5	287	2.0	43 936	1.5	256	2.2	20 450	1.8
Hall -----	538	1.6	181 782	1.1	317	1.8	75 910	.5	222	2.1	11 482	1.3
Hamilton -----	517	1.6	215 282	1.1	250	1.8	37 500	.8	188	2.2	6 637	2.3
Harlan -----	208	2.4	61 210	1.6	247	2.4	50 400	1.0	211	2.6	14 709	1.8
Hayes -----	109	2.1	32 236	2.0	186	1.8	66 315	.5	170	1.9	17 832	1.1
Hitchcock -----	128	2.2	26 257	1.8	248	1.7	31 979	1.3	206	1.9	13 279	1.6
Holt -----	457	1.7	222 767	.9	938	1.6	203 636	.8	781	1.6	92 129	.9
Hooker -----	13	—	3 149	—	64	.8	21 551	.3	57	1.2	11 181	.3
Howard -----	395	2.1	100 848	1.6	457	2.0	63 433	1.3	356	2.1	18 852	2.0
Jefferson -----	202	1.6	51 536	1.3	396	1.6	30 160	1.2	313	1.9	9 519	1.8
Johnson -----	51	5.6	8 496	5.1	320	2.8	19 160	3.3	281	3.0	9 008	3.5
Kearney -----	393	1.4	175 815	.9	227	1.7	60 009	.7	172	2.0	(D)	(D)
Keith -----	161	1.8	77 983	1.1	179	1.7	69 393	.5	154	1.9	23 070	1.1
Keya Paha -----	42	3.3	11 996	4.3	177	1.5	48 301	1.1	160	1.6	23 524	1.4
Kimball -----	83	2.3	20 095	1.6	132	1.8	18 728	.9	114	2.0	8 206	.9
Knox -----	168	2.3	32 056	1.9	833	1.7	119 360	1.2	645	1.9	37 240	1.7

See footnotes at end of table.

C-24 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Lancaster -----	108	2.5	12 571	2.9	626	1.2	30 535	1.4	490	1.3	12 062	1.4
Lincoln -----	455	1.4	178 862	1.0	658	1.0	167 703	.4	588	1.1	67 389	.6
Logan -----	50	3.5	15 801	2.3	109	2.3	32 327	1.2	95	2.6	16 229	1.1
Loup -----	54	3.2	10 755	3.0	116	1.9	39 089	1.2	107	2.0	18 021	1.4
McPherson -----	34	1.9	9 343	1.6	100	1.1	35 269	.5	89	1.3	17 037	.5
Madison -----	243	1.9	64 273	1.3	448	1.8	62 062	1.1	303	2.0	12 516	1.9
Merrick -----	474	2.1	164 589	1.4	337	2.0	74 053	.6	263	2.3	13 467	1.6
Morrill -----	314	1.7	108 370	1.1	290	1.5	129 094	.3	250	1.7	34 042	.8
Nance -----	178	3.3	44 367	2.5	294	3.0	41 840	1.7	254	3.3	14 076	2.9
Nemaha -----	14	4.6	2 403	.9	287	1.8	18 899	1.7	244	1.9	6 856	2.0
Nuckolls -----	155	2.9	42 369	2.2	368	2.8	38 805	2.2	313	2.9	15 950	2.7
Otoe -----	28	5.4	2 897	6.2	469	1.4	25 163	1.4	379	1.6	9 511	1.7
Pawnee -----	10	7.0	3 255	1.4	313	1.6	24 469	1.4	267	1.7	10 450	1.7
Perkins -----	215	1.6	107 459	.8	172	1.6	21 948	.8	137	1.8	8 870	1.1
Phelps -----	475	1.4	219 586	.8	264	1.5	121 947	.4	181	1.8	19 354	.8
Pierce -----	279	2.3	87 444	1.4	455	2.4	67 155	1.3	300	2.9	13 423	2.4
Platte -----	451	2.9	117 963	2.1	537	2.6	75 974	1.2	353	3.1	16 081	2.4
Polk -----	367	1.6	100 412	1.3	283	1.6	54 257	.6	219	1.8	11 817	1.3
Red Willow -----	161	2.3	42 309	2.0	257	2.0	52 241	.8	215	2.2	15 850	1.4
Richardson -----	16	7.6	2 470	7.1	398	2.7	25 445	2.6	322	2.9	9 269	3.1
Rock -----	84	2.7	41 563	2.0	224	1.3	95 906	.5	204	1.4	35 342	.7
Saline -----	259	3.0	63 684	2.4	415	2.9	24 020	2.3	359	3.0	8 833	2.7
Sarpy -----	29	5.0	4 552	4.5	137	2.4	20 986	.7	94	3.1	2 636	2.9
Saunders -----	250	1.8	63 395	1.2	505	1.8	58 192	.8	395	2.0	12 320	1.7
Scotts Bluff -----	693	1.6	181 196	1.3	338	1.8	115 399	.4	236	2.3	15 103	1.8
Seward -----	291	1.6	84 987	1.1	418	1.5	34 736	1.0	325	1.7	8 376	2.2
Sheridan -----	178	1.9	53 623	1.4	507	1.6	131 214	.7	454	1.6	67 042	.8
Sherman -----	215	2.2	52 698	1.9	373	1.9	47 140	1.8	335	1.9	23 206	1.9
Sioux -----	155	1.8	39 406	1.4	262	1.2	77 531	.5	214	1.4	29 450	.7
Stanton -----	85	2.7	19 248	2.0	359	1.4	62 847	.6	248	1.8	11 126	1.6
Thayer -----	331	1.9	98 315	1.5	342	2.0	39 483	1.0	296	2.1	11 797	1.9
Thomas -----	11	—	2 971	—	84	1.4	26 136	.4	73	1.8	13 210	.4
Thurston -----	23	3.8	4 092	2.4	169	2.1	30 511	.6	119	2.7	5 396	1.6
Valley -----	245	2.8	63 322	2.0	359	2.7	65 249	1.5	293	2.9	20 993	2.3
Washington -----	59	3.2	13 803	2.1	297	1.7	28 391	1.0	212	2.1	5 272	2.3
Wayne -----	71	2.6	17 985	2.1	369	1.5	55 936	1.0	230	1.9	10 819	2.3
Webster -----	134	2.5	36 034	1.6	317	1.6	71 545	.7	292	1.8	17 591	1.7
Wheeler -----	88	2.4	36 264	1.7	147	1.7	79 045	.4	123	2.0	15 369	1.0
York -----	576	1.7	199 097	1.1	304	1.9	50 049	.6	240	2.2	(D)	(D)
Livestock and poultry —Con.												
Geographic area	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Nebraska -----	2 122	1.4	83 295	1.0	10 826	1.4	4 187 389	.7	2 185	1.5	151 777
Adams -----	7	12.0	57	21.4	72	3.4	18 807	2.8	27	5.2	1 608	4.1
Antelope -----	73	4.0	4 776	2.3	280	2.8	90 708	2.1	21	8.7	1 203	13.7
Arthur -----	8	8.4	20	5.1	2	—	(D)	(D)	1	24.2	(D)	(D)
Banner -----	4	—	4	—	7	8.5	1 477	7.8	12	4.2	884	2.4
Blaine -----	5	9.0	102	19.9	19	5.4	8 419	2.2	4	11.3	114	19.0
Boone -----	31	4.3	1 465	3.1	264	2.0	112 196	1.1	18	6.0	1 125	4.5
Box Butte -----	5	12.5	(D)	(D)	38	4.8	2 716	3.8	19	6.4	1 252	13.8
Boyd -----	36	6.3	1 399	5.7	122	4.0	28 718	3.9	9	12.4	427	14.1
Brown -----	23	6.3	549	8.6	45	3.7	14 746	1.0	25	5.0	2 495	6.9
Buffalo -----	30	4.7	897	5.0	184	2.3	45 953	2.1	54	4.0	13 074	.8
Burt -----	13	8.3	654	6.3	150	2.1	69 038	1.5	24	6.1	1 250	7.0
Butler -----	14	6.8	428	7.8	167	2.2	48 003	1.4	48	4.3	2 027	5.5
Cass -----	21	7.2	962	5.0	120	3.5	26 291	3.5	34	6.2	862	7.8
Cedar -----	124	4.0	5 816	3.4	507	2.9	190 253	2.1	25	8.2	1 010	10.9
Chase -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	33	4.1	11 822	2.7	12	5.7	988	7.0
Cherry -----	52	3.5	585	3.9	38	4.9	4 169	6.2	5	11.5	282	12.4
Cheyenne -----	9	7.3	93	6.3	26	5.1	8 710	1.9	17	6.9	683	6.8
Clay -----	5	16.4	(D)	(D)	90	3.2	150 387	.5	39	5.8	7 493	3.2
Colfax -----	16	6.1	719	3.8	271	2.1	125 198	1.1	33	5.3	1 383	8.3
Cuming -----	46	3.0	2 360	2.1	454	1.1	241 988	.7	30	5.1	2 585	2.1
Custer -----	49	4.0	1 493	2.4	180	2.6	50 157	2.1	80	3.6	5 082	7.0
Dakota -----	5	8.1	289	3.6	76	3.2	19 058	3.0	16	6.8	339	6.6
Dawes -----	31	4.5	110	14.4	41	4.4	2 154	3.1	55	3.5	4 347	5.5
Dawson -----	12	8.1	155	11.1	139	2.2	73 709	1.0	43	4.7	3 126	10.1
Deuel -----	2	22.0	(D)	(D)	7	8.0	2 656	2.2	8	7.9	356	5.8
Dixon -----	20	6.3	571	6.7	240	2.3	68 818	1.7	30	5.2	3 398	7.3
Dodge -----	11	8.3	209	7.6	244	1.5	103 171	1.0	51	4.0	3 193	5.7
Douglas -----	10	8.9	284	5.4	43	3.8	11 592	2.7	13	8.9	433	15.3
Dundy -----	6	11.7	38	28.0	22	4.2	8 024	3.1	10	7.6	691	6.4

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-25

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.										
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory		
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Fillmore -----	7	10.2	221	12.1	146	2.1	107 762	.8	25	5.5	1 187 6.4
Franklin -----	15	8.2	683	4.6	56	5.1	13 814	4.3	17	8.9	409 14.5
Frontier -----	13	8.7	280	11.8	69	3.8	14 711	3.9	18	6.7	672 6.0
Furnas -----	6	11.8	265	4.4	72	4.6	83 471	.7	11	10.2	1 554 20.4
Gage -----	103	2.4	5 399	1.7	316	1.9	122 620	1.1	40	4.9	1 328 5.8
Garden -----	4	16.3	4	16.3	20	7.7	5 427	7.2	13	8.8	425 10.1
Garfield -----	16	9.5	64	12.4	20	8.8	2 792	11.0	18	8.6	682 12.1
Gosper -----	3	17.0	(D)	(D)	41	4.3	14 053	1.9	3	19.6	(D) (D)
Grant -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	1	40.0	(D)	(D)	2	21.7	(D) (D)
Greeley -----	14	7.2	599	6.7	79	3.2	39 303	1.3	10	9.1	723 21.1
Hall -----	15	6.3	912	2.3	108	3.0	37 054	2.1	35	5.1	1 855 8.6
Hamilton -----	6	9.8	315	6.1	129	2.6	45 399	1.8	20	7.7	1 089 7.9
Harlan -----	11	8.5	410	9.4	53	4.5	13 485	4.2	14	9.5	548 9.6
Hayes -----	8	8.8	159	19.9	47	3.9	7 317	3.8	4	16.5	(D) (D)
Hitchcock -----	7	11.4	114	13.8	56	3.6	14 174	2.9	14	7.5	587 11.2
Holt -----	112	2.6	4 441	2.1	223	2.1	289 519	.3	50	4.5	2 320 5.9
Hooper -----	6	—	39	—	7	5.2	3 536	6.4	—	—	—
Howard -----	38	4.6	1 258	4.3	149	2.8	36 507	2.0	20	7.8	552 14.0
Jefferson -----	52	2.9	2 668	2.1	119	2.2	36 716	1.6	37	4.8	1 255 5.3
Johnson -----	14	9.4	588	6.5	98	4.3	34 416	2.4	22	8.1	619 21.9
Kearney -----	3	15.8	(D)	(D)	65	3.0	28 039	1.4	24	6.1	694 6.3
Keith -----	6	11.8	159	18.4	7	11.8	1 925	3.9	7	8.5	194 5.9
Keya Paha -----	34	4.4	1 592	3.6	30	4.4	5 198	6.7	12	7.2	1 687 3.1
Kimball -----	8	12.1	91	25.9	22	6.9	4 295	8.5	16	7.0	2 801 12.6
Knox -----	107	2.8	4 391	2.2	471	2.0	151 296	1.6	42	4.6	2 395 6.8
Lancaster -----	41	4.2	1 473	4.8	180	2.2	52 504	1.7	50	4.2	1 845 5.5
Lincoln -----	37	4.0	897	3.6	94	3.0	22 649	2.4	45	4.5	1 792 8.8
Logan -----	5	12.8	6	15.6	22	6.4	3 721	5.8	13	8.5	559 10.7
Loup -----	3	20.4	5	27.5	13	9.6	2 488	10.8	2	21.8	(D) (D)
McPherson -----	16	4.3	29	4.1	14	5.4	2 657	2.6	3	—	42
Madison -----	35	3.7	1 871	2.5	283	2.0	81 308	1.4	27	5.7	1 865 8.1
Merrick -----	20	6.2	639	5.2	109	2.7	41 245	1.4	29	5.1	1 119 6.4
Morrill -----	11	8.0	22	9.7	23	6.0	2 556	13.6	24	5.7	1 715 10.2
Nance -----	12	10.2	711	6.6	89	4.3	30 918	2.8	11	12.8	417 19.0
Nemaha -----	13	7.8	367	6.7	98	2.6	47 625	1.2	26	6.0	1 664 3.3
Nuckolls -----	23	8.8	810	7.2	144	3.2	47 317	2.3	23	8.4	1 279 12.5
Otoe -----	24	5.0	1 174	3.8	149	2.4	62 926	1.4	27	5.3	1 264 7.4
Pawnee -----	25	5.3	1 119	4.4	115	2.7	39 144	2.0	33	5.3	1 757 8.2
Perkins -----	10	6.5	266	.5	32	3.8	3 981	4.4	20	5.7	1 187 6.4
Phelps -----	6	9.6	194	9.2	57	3.1	23 008	2.2	19	7.0	1 030 10.5
Pierce -----	62	4.9	2 570	3.8	309	2.5	100 086	1.8	22	8.7	409 9.2
Platte -----	37	3.8	2 661	1.3	402	2.7	193 898	1.3	34	6.8	1 583 14.4
Polk -----	8	8.6	262	4.1	112	2.3	49 680	1.1	15	8.0	716 12.4
Red Willow -----	6	9.1	262	12.1	68	3.3	23 006	2.6	15	8.7	1 178 3.7
Richardson -----	28	5.1	1 750	2.8	129	3.5	35 222	1.9	30	6.5	1 270 7.8
Rock -----	24	5.5	652	9.6	14	7.4	2 162	7.9	10	6.0	780 .9
Saline -----	17	9.9	526	9.6	157	3.5	44 357	2.5	34	6.7	2 118 11.0
Sarpy -----	7	9.7	332	5.4	53	3.6	11 639	4.4	12	8.5	1 467 5.0
Saunders -----	44	3.8	1 378	3.3	236	2.1	52 879	1.7	64	3.6	4 669 5.7
Scotts Bluff -----	18	6.7	431	3.8	37	4.7	12 611	1.6	31	5.7	6 152 2.4
Seward -----	28	4.1	1 443	2.4	194	2.2	64 773	1.5	47	4.5	3 480 5.7
Sheridan -----	26	6.1	493	9.4	69	3.3	9 442	4.1	48	4.8	4 220 4.8
Sherman -----	21	6.4	867	4.1	117	2.9	17 821	2.9	29	5.8	2 520 7.6
Sioux -----	28	4.5	49	5.2	20	6.6	6 083	2.0	15	6.8	3 099 1.9
Stanton -----	21	4.8	1 323	3.6	174	2.0	55 714	1.7	9	9.7	1 444 2.9
Thayer -----	16	6.5	415	4.3	115	3.1	34 551	2.1	35	5.8	1 252 7.0
Thomas -----	4	10.4	9	4.6	9	5.5	3 309	2.2	1	41.6	(D) (D)
Thurston -----	9	5.5	1 012	3.5	124	2.3	47 827	1.5	15	5.9	1 692 6.7
Valley -----	37	5.4	1 141	5.0	109	3.8	38 828	2.2	20	7.9	1 094 11.2
Washington -----	34	3.7	2 599	1.5	166	2.2	63 904	1.7	29	5.3	3 484 11.9
Wayne -----	37	4.0	2 357	2.0	243	1.8	87 721	1.1	31	5.2	3 201 4.6
Webster -----	19	6.4	538	4.9	74	3.8	26 404	3.5	10	9.7	481 15.8
Wheeler -----	28	5.3	1 444	3.5	23	5.6	8 916	3.8	11	9.3	766 12.9
York -----	3	18.2	(D)	(D)	165	2.2	80 482	1.1	29	5.9	1 587 7.5

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.											
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold							
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total					
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Nebraska -----	1 967	1.5	6 527 412	—	289	2.2	1 887 881	—	698	1.0	19.5	
Adams -----	24	6.1	787	8.3	5	15.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	
Antelope -----	28	7.7	1 416	11.5	1	43.9	—	—	—	—	—	
Arthur -----	5	7.0	48	8.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Banner -----	6	4.5	143	3.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	

See footnotes at end of table.

C-26 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.							
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Blaine -----	11	7.3	222	8.6	—	—	—	—
Boone -----	17	7.2	581	10.3	5	16.0	280	19.6
Box Butte -----	24	6.2	740	12.3	—	—	—	—
Boyd -----	15	9.6	818	14.3	1	49.1	(D)	(D)
Brown -----	32	5.1	1 593	7.6	6	11.8	3 959	7.7
Buffalo -----	24	6.5	517	7.2	3	19.5	270	20.1
Burt -----	18	7.5	1 516	10.7	7	12.5	(D)	(D)
Butler -----	34	5.0	(D)	(D)	6	11.0	(D)	(D)
Cass -----	26	7.5	1 264	15.9	7	17.0	3 455	26.2
Cedar -----	29	7.6	2 557	11.8	5	9.7	1 230	11.8
Chase -----	9	9.4	189	9.9	—	—	—	—
Cherry -----	33	4.3	802	7.1	1	—	(D)	(D)
Cheyenne -----	18	6.0	475	13.4	—	—	—	—
Clay -----	13	11.0	704	17.6	6	17.2	642	17.0
Colfax -----	25	5.7	(D)	(D)	9	10.7	(D)	(D)
Cuming -----	20	6.0	1 878	12.2	7	10.7	1 147	10.2
Custer -----	54	4.1	1 608	5.3	5	13.9	285	18.8
Dakota -----	8	13.6	390	18.4	—	—	—	—
Dawes -----	37	4.4	1 260	6.3	4	14.8	120	11.6
Dawson -----	29	5.9	1 120	9.9	5	10.8	602	5.3
Deuel -----	7	10.4	105	15.7	—	—	—	—
Dixon -----	16	8.0	(D)	(D)	4	16.7	2 550	15.2
Dodge -----	24	5.6	(D)	(D)	13	7.1	209 891	2.4
Douglas -----	15	8.0	530	8.9	3	14.7	(D)	(D)
Dundy -----	8	8.7	174	9.4	—	—	—	—
Fillmore -----	9	12.2	655	15.6	—	—	—	—
Franklin -----	14	9.4	418	11.1	3	23.3	26 000	22.2
Frontier -----	26	6.4	870	6.9	1	—	(D)	(D)
Furnas -----	18	8.3	481	10.7	4	16.6	1 890	30.3
Gage -----	45	4.3	(D)	(D)	4	12.8	438	16.4
Garden -----	20	7.5	325	8.0	—	—	—	—
Garfield -----	27	6.8	814	8.9	2	25.0	(D)	(D)
Gosper -----	5	12.2	153	16.1	2	18.1	(D)	(D)
Grant -----	4	—	51	—	—	—	—	—
Greeley -----	22	6.5	1 201	10.2	2	23.8	(D)	(D)
Hall -----	29	5.7	1 524	12.2	7	10.4	605	16.1
Hamilton -----	14	7.3	1 175	17.9	8	8.3	3 174	6.6
Harlan -----	8	13.3	233	16.9	2	18.0	(D)	(D)
Hayes -----	12	7.3	250	5.3	—	—	—	—
Hitchcock -----	20	5.8	1 661	9.9	4	13.8	325	14.5
Holt -----	53	4.0	4 622	5.3	6	7.1	620	13.5
Hooker -----	3	—	36	—	—	—	—	—
Howard -----	30	6.0	1 599	13.5	10	8.8	3 556	18.7
Jefferson -----	21	5.8	(D)	(D)	2	21.9	(D)	(D)
Johnson -----	17	9.1	765	12.4	1	—	(D)	(D)
Kearney -----	12	8.7	336	10.9	1	37.3	(D)	(D)
Keith -----	14	7.1	383	10.9	3	15.1	300	15.1
Keya Paha -----	10	7.7	200	6.8	—	—	—	—
Kimball -----	12	8.5	441	16.5	—	—	—	—
Knox -----	48	4.5	2 541	6.8	6	12.8	(D)	(D)
Lancaster -----	51	4.0	(D)	(D)	9	9.3	2 727	6.6
Lincoln -----	40	4.5	830	6.6	9	9.8	1 600	21.3
Logan -----	2	24.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Loup -----	3	14.5	55	23.8	—	—	—	—
McPherson -----	7	9.7	311	16.4	1	—	(D)	(D)
Madison -----	32	5.2	3 150	5.3	10	9.2	4 977	9.7
Merrick -----	22	6.8	706	11.1	3	11.4	(D)	(D)
Morrill -----	21	6.9	421	8.5	2	28.1	(D)	(D)
Nance -----	8	15.3	278	18.4	8	13.9	1 540	22.1
Nemaha -----	17	7.8	1 382	12.5	1	33.7	(D)	(D)
Nuckolls -----	19	9.2	2 122	12.6	1	46.9	(D)	(D)
Otoe -----	14	8.0	742	11.4	2	14.6	(D)	(D)
Pawnee -----	17	7.1	842	12.7	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Perkins -----	26	4.8	455	5.8	2	18.9	(D)	(D)
Phelps -----	10	10.4	1 025	20.3	—	—	—	—
Pierce -----	36	6.6	3 501	9.7	4	16.4	2 875	20.9
Platte -----	43	6.5	4 913	10.4	9	11.0	17 166	19.3
Polk -----	17	7.2	197 517	(L)	2	24.9	(D)	(D)
Red Willow -----	10	9.6	573	13.0	3	12.9	120	11.2
Richardson -----	23	7.3	811	11.5	3	22.4	(D)	(D)
Rock -----	10	7.3	157	10.3	1	33.6	(D)	(D)
Saline -----	44	5.6	1 976	15.5	—	—	—	—
Sarpy -----	11	9.7	361	12.1	2	25.1	(D)	(D)
Saunders -----	63	3.3	3 298	7.8	13	7.4	4 145	7.4
Scotts Bluff -----	19	7.2	394	8.9	5	16.1	5 910	18.1
Seward -----	24	6.4	1 262	10.7	4	14.8	114	23.0
Sheridan -----	41	5.0	1 076	5.7	2	21.1	(D)	(D)
Sherman -----	32	5.9	1 482	11.3	1	23.7	(D)	(D)
Sioux -----	26	6.1	666	6.9	1	48.7	(D)	(D)
Stanton -----	23	6.3	1 053	14.2	1	31.5	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-27

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.										
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory					Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold					
	Farms		Total			Farms		Total			Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
Thayer -----	20	8.3	757	14.7	4	13.4	295	11.8			
Thomas -----	8	8.4	129	5.3	1	—	(D)	(D)			
Thurston -----	12	7.3	1 812	21.1	1	36.1	(D)	(D)			
Valley -----	34	7.1	999	8.3	3	15.8	700	15.2			
Washington -----	19	6.8	722	11.4	1	31.8	(D)	(D)			
Wayne -----	26	5.9	(D)	(D)	3	17.2	460	20.5			
Webster -----	13	8.4	315	12.7	1	38.2	(D)	(D)			
Wheeler -----	4	9.7	259	30.1	—	—	—	—			
York -----	18	7.6	(D)	(D)	4	16.6	2 000	20.2			
Selected crops harvested											
Geographic area	Corn for grain or seed					Corn for silage or green chop					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, green	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, green	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	29 679	1.4	7 235 528	.9	930 758 282	.9	3 950	1.1	.6	2 960 555	.6
Adams -----	461	1.5	146 367	1.0	22 133 630	.9	16	4.9	3.9	24 101	6.6
Antelope -----	662	2.5	185 922	1.7	22 043 654	1.6	130	2.9	5 311	71 604	1.6
Arthur -----	12	3.3	2 799	5.0	260 435	7.0	5	8.0	310	5 630	12.0
Banner -----	38	2.2	6 158	1.7	652 657	1.7	15	—	731	9 280	—
Blaine -----	10	—	2 765	—	306 355	—	6	—	915	13 515	—
Boone -----	598	1.9	173 584	1.3	20 835 675	1.2	94	2.4	3 947	52 592	1.7
Box Butte -----	208	1.5	45 186	.9	5 481 583	.9	52	2.5	4 478	80 151	1.6
Boyd -----	182	3.7	23 828	3.2	1 877 292	3.3	19	7.6	1 402	8 780	4.8
Brown -----	115	2.0	37 809	1.4	4 445 054	1.5	33	3.1	4 152	71 216	2.0
Buffalo -----	682	2.0	182 666	1.3	25 912 019	1.3	88	2.5	2 575	37 467	2.9
Burt -----	459	1.5	106 613	1.2	14 175 210	1.2	28	4.2	1 493	22 592	9.5
Butler -----	551	1.8	129 189	1.2	17 138 554	1.2	32	3.7	1 193	21 251	1.0
Cass -----	438	2.7	91 047	1.8	12 362 937	1.7	29	5.5	724	8 564	7.8
Cedar -----	750	2.9	141 516	2.1	14 638 953	2.0	176	3.4	5 138	62 188	2.6
Chase -----	228	1.1	122 613	.6	15 706 156	.6	27	1.8	2 964	.3	48 143
Cherry -----	42	1.9	10 324	1.5	903 072	.8	24	—	2 827	—	38 780
Cheyenne -----	109	2.3	16 506	1.7	2 021 034	1.8	55	2.3	3 399	.9	61 988
Clay -----	412	2.5	138 109	1.6	20 077 130	1.6	15	6.5	4 361	97 384	.3
Colfax -----	519	1.8	97 518	1.3	12 534 619	1.3	42	2.6	3 350	.7	45 398
Cuming -----	850	.9	141 157	.7	17 947 744	.7	79	1.6	5 114	.9	79 138
Custer -----	682	1.3	189 435	.7	22 757 202	.7	170	1.4	7 872	.8	142 716
Dakota -----	214	1.7	51 183	1.1	6 541 760	1.1	8	9.8	197	1 825	6.9
Dawes -----	25	2.1	2 444	1.2	255 456	1.0	9	5.9	412	6 035	2.2
Dawson -----	558	1.2	170 207	.8	21 055 563	.7	100	1.6	6 530	108 259	.8
Deuel -----	56	2.9	13 173	2.7	1 416 759	3.0	7	5.3	407	7 377	5.3
Dixon -----	446	2.1	82 493	1.4	9 060 749	1.4	40	4.5	1 449	26 983	3.5
Dodge -----	654	1.0	140 294	.8	19 339 549	.8	42	2.1	2 099	34 587	4.7
Douglas -----	203	1.5	45 999	1.3	6 095 853	1.3	6	9.5	294	5 202	4.6
Dundy -----	186	1.5	81 456	.8	10 292 687	.8	23	2.6	1 416	28 039	4.6
Fillmore -----	413	1.6	152 925	1.0	23 234 137	.9	24	3.2	1 060	21 080	4.4
Franklin -----	242	3.2	73 553	2.1	10 598 784	2.0	34	5.3	1 200	17 670	3.2
Frontier -----	266	1.7	71 429	1.2	8 552 406	1.3	47	3.3	1 451	18 715	5.5
Furnas -----	225	2.9	50 701	1.8	6 525 440	1.8	33	4.4	1 169	17 346	2.6
Gage -----	339	1.7	37 287	1.4	5 230 039	1.4	38	3.0	1 739	29 431	3.3
Garden -----	83	3.3	15 725	1.8	1 923 724	1.7	20	4.9	1 250	13.8	27 562
Garfield -----	58	4.8	6 943	3.4	627 946	4.9	42	4.7	2 127	23 126	3.2
Gosper -----	173	1.7	55 529	1.4	6 826 915	1.4	27	3.3	1 021	14 671	4.2
Grant -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—
Greeley -----	264	1.9	67 072	1.5	7 179 768	1.4	103	2.4	4 591	64 623	1.7
Hall -----	533	1.7	174 122	1.1	24 970 044	1.1	42	2.7	1 701	2.4	26 629
Hamilton -----	544	1.6	212 790	1.1	31 587 426	1.1	41	3.1	2 487	1.3	49 360
Harlan -----	196	2.5	55 488	1.7	8 293 531	1.6	42	3.4	1 750	3.3	29 533
Hayes -----	156	1.7	42 218	1.6	4 416 491	1.5	26	4.1	1 144	4.1	11 488
Hitchcock -----	154	2.0	30 071	1.3	3 280 560	1.5	26	4.7	752	3.5	10 930
Holt -----	527	1.7	190 133	1.0	22 952 608	1.0	117	1.9	4 777	1.2	62 551
Hooker -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—
Howard -----	456	2.1	104 310	1.6	10 625 289	1.6	91	2.7	4 010	2.1	40 126
Jefferson -----	235	1.7	40 260	1.4	6 043 359	1.4	29	3.2	947	6.1	15 160
Johnson -----	175	3.7	14 617	3.5	1 766 696	3.6	12	10.2	263	6.9	3 594
Kearney -----	399	1.4	161 427	1.0	24 048 901	.9	23	2.9	1 279	.9	21 807
Keith -----	150	1.8	53 474	1.0	6 495 219	1.0	24	—	1 662	—	27 818
Keya Paha -----	51	3.1	9 286	4.2	928 144	3.3	20	4.5	1 009	4.3	10 417
Kimball -----	52	3.0	8 511	2.3	964 429	3.0	24	3.3	1 551	1.8	19 931
Knox -----	683	1.7	101 728	1.4	9 696 755	1.4	177	2.4	5 988	2.5	62 272
Lancaster -----	322	1.5	36 034	1.4	4 425 564	1.3	21	6.0	396	6.4	5 713
Lincoln -----	433	1.4	159 049	1.0	20 523 438	1.0	78	1.8	3 796	1.2	62 892
Logan -----	55	3.7	17 314	2.5	1 748 382	2.8	9	5.4	515	1.9	5 015
Loup -----	64	3.1	6 636	2.9	861 110	3.1	32	4.3	1 048	5.9	13 731
McPherson -----	10	4.7	3 314	4.5	371 462	3.5	4	—	750	—	9 700

See footnotes at end of table.

C-28 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed								Corn for silage or green chop			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, green	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Madison -----	590	1.6	133 863	1.2	15 662 824	1.1	77	2.4	3 199	2.5	50 266	1.9
Merrick -----	499	2.0	153 694	1.4	19 624 245	1.4	56	2.8	3 857	1.8	68 147	1.1
Morrill -----	244	1.9	48 381	1.1	6 272 121	1.1	35	3.6	2 801	2.0	46 737	2.0
Nance -----	329	3.0	78 797	2.3	9 104 395	2.3	47	4.7	1 317	4.2	21 510	4.3
Nemaha -----	371	1.7	62 016	1.3	7 688 556	1.3	16	5.8	447	4.4	9 380	6.2
Nuckolls -----	152	2.9	35 441	2.2	5 068 896	2.2	13	7.3	564	13.6	7 379	12.1
Otoe -----	503	1.6	73 267	1.5	8 836 868	1.5	25	3.9	772	3.0	12 100	2.5
Pawnee -----	119	2.5	10 423	1.9	1 245 898	1.7	23	5.3	425	5.2	4 360	5.1
Perkins -----	232	1.6	95 266	.8	12 466 269	.8	19	2.9	617	1.4	9 430	1.1
Phelps -----	454	1.4	186 739	.8	24 866 052	.8	39	3.5	2 334	2.5	35 005	2.6
Pierce -----	556	2.4	129 093	1.6	14 920 719	1.5	108	3.4	3 018	3.8	43 868	2.8
Platte -----	850	2.7	195 350	2.0	25 717 010	1.9	82	2.9	3 462	1.8	59 112	1.3
Polk -----	454	1.5	118 438	1.3	16 499 171	1.2	41	3.3	2 087	3.6	34 120	4.4
Red Willow -----	194	2.0	51 892	1.5	6 306 651	1.6	21	5.1	1 376	3.7	20 316	3.9
Richardson -----	433	2.7	62 566	2.2	7 673 890	2.1	37	4.9	1 358	5.9	17 583	6.5
Rock -----	60	3.3	29 498	2.4	2 373 739	2.6	4	—	742	—	9 820	—
Saline -----	313	2.9	59 701	2.2	8 919 692	2.1	21	7.8	556	10.0	8 169	4.9
Sarpy -----	267	1.7	43 505	1.6	5 815 468	1.7	14	6.7	329	5.8	4 684	4.9
Saunders -----	908	1.9	160 190	1.5	20 866 008	1.4	61	2.9	2 998	1.8	63 713	1.2
Scotts Bluff -----	544	1.7	72 938	1.5	8 578 296	1.4	76	2.6	4 780	1.8	84 203	1.2
Seward -----	393	1.5	87 564	1.2	12 951 643	1.1	32	3.3	1 272	4.3	25 078	2.6
Sheridan -----	107	2.0	24 695	1.5	2 430 300	1.5	46	3.2	3 047	2.6	34 843	3.2
Sherman -----	267	2.1	52 887	1.9	6 865 853	1.8	49	3.8	1 308	4.8	18 305	3.8
Sioux -----	85	2.7	15 094	2.3	1 743 451	2.2	18	5.6	1 184	13.1	22 309	13.3
Stanton -----	425	1.3	75 876	1.1	8 965 707	1.1	62	2.5	4 033	2.4	62 025	2.5
Thayer -----	325	1.9	81 362	1.5	12 435 761	1.5	15	6.3	417	6.6	7 137	10.9
Thomas -----	5	—	992	—	126 315	—	3	—	227	—	3 550	—
Thurston -----	309	1.7	75 527	1.1	8 853 401	1.0	28	3.1	1 086	2.3	12 523	3.4
Valley -----	280	2.7	54 516	2.0	6 015 259	2.0	94	3.6	5 824	2.6	64 791	1.9
Washington -----	493	1.5	87 413	1.2	11 800 566	1.2	48	3.5	2 700	2.7	39 027	3.3
Wayne -----	478	1.4	96 948	1.1	10 784 200	1.0	93	2.5	4 672	1.7	63 174	1.8
Webster -----	140	2.4	33 475	1.6	4 701 615	1.7	6	7.7	481	2.2	8 085	2.5
Wheeler -----	102	2.3	28 176	1.8	3 075 074	1.9	39	3.4	2 993	1.2	31 349	2.0
York -----	587	1.7	189 476	1.1	28 520 997	1.1	26	3.6	1 200	1.5	14 781	1.4
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Sorghum for grain or seed								Wheat for grain			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	10 513	1.6	1 412 747	1.3	122 513 083	1.3	12 671	1.4	1 800 432	.9	53 512 448	.9
Adams -----	291	1.6	30 060	1.8	2 778 377	1.8	350	1.5	26 896	1.8	922 208	1.7
Antelope -----	9	11.9	491	9.5	31 882	10.6	11	7.5	581	7.5	13 175	7.1
Arthur -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	20.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Banner -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	124	1.5	56 379	1.0	1 519 193	1.1
Blaine -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Boone -----	35	4.5	1 810	4.4	123 240	4.8	34	4.6	1 233	6.1	38 856	6.3
Box Butte -----	4	11.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	316	1.6	92 967	1.4	3 427 205	1.3
Boyd -----	101	4.0	12 159	3.1	649 999	3.3	20	9.0	1 298	9.5	30 305	11.0
Brown -----	1	25.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	25.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Buffalo -----	142	2.7	10 840	2.7	758 497	3.0	274	2.1	12 691	2.1	446 362	2.1
Burt -----	6	12.5	238	15.0	20 016	14.7	22	6.1	1 072	7.3	37 934	7.1
Butler -----	368	1.9	45 272	2.0	3 779 007	2.1	145	2.3	4 784	2.1	129 429	2.3
Cass -----	113	4.1	17 015	4.0	1 587 490	4.1	164	3.4	8 544	3.1	268 439	3.2
Cedar -----	23	7.0	1 033	6.0	64 359	3.7	4	17.2	158	18.1	7 404	18.5
Chase -----	16	5.8	1 122	4.2	62 417	3.7	214	1.3	46 586	1.2	1 098 967	1.4
Cherry -----	5	10.0	493	4.5	23 148	7.1	4	9.3	(D)	(D)	83 050	.8
Cheyenne -----	8	9.1	630	4.5	43 368	4.6	534	1.5	174 861	1.2	4 295 085	1.2
Clay -----	340	2.7	50 393	2.6	4 067 585	2.5	226	3.1	14 587	2.8	459 085	2.7
Colfax -----	47	3.9	2 447	5.1	198 747	5.3	45	4.0	1 422	4.7	37 523	4.8
Cuming -----	11	8.5	537	6.9	49 387	7.6	9	8.1	306	8.1	10 958	7.6
Custer -----	28	4.3	1 957	4.5	75 065	4.5	200	1.6	15 527	1.4	491 364	1.3
Dakota -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	3	—	(D)	(D)	3 050	—
Dawes -----	3	11.4	110	6.2	(D)	(D)	189	1.8	31 110	1.3	997 507	1.0
Dawson -----	68	3.1	5 597	2.7	391 377	2.6	80	2.5	6 301	3.4	227 012	3.5
Deuel -----	2	13.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	185	1.4	63 829	1.2	1 319 542	1.3
Dixon -----	6	11.4	358	11.8	12 270	16.9	2	14.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dodge -----	58	2.9	5 518	1.7	456 188	1.6	55	3.3	2 149	6.1	68 173	7.3
Douglas -----	7	10.1	467	26.8	44 844	27.9	24	5.5	783	11.6	22 065	8.6
Dundy -----	26	5.4	3 361	6.8	190 378	6.6	124	2.0	22 495	2.1	438 744	2.1
Fillmore -----	406	1.6	53 311	1.7	4 960 605	1.7	267	1.9	15 656	2.2	377 915	2.1
Franklin -----	215	3.5	23 401	3.9	1 917 650	3.8	249	3.3	21 785	3.1	806 023	3.0
Frontier -----	119	2.7	10 076	2.5	629 756	2.5	276	1.7	44 796	1.5	1 748 846	1.5
Furnas -----	216	3.4	29 999	2.9	2 541 288	2.9	333	3.1	66 353	2.1	2 755 258	2.0
Gage -----	848	1.6	155 896	1.3	14 398 556	1.3	603	1.7	45 560	1.4	1 354 029	1.3

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-29

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Sorghum for grain or seed								Wheat for grain			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Garden -----	3	16.3	113	10.0	5 981	5.7	143	3.2	53 345	2.6	1 530 695	2.8
Garfield -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	35.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	—
Gosper -----	105	2.5	13 350	2.4	1 070 944	2.6	136	2.0	15 230	2.0	646 825	2.3
Grant -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Greeley -----	28	4.8	1 494	4.9	74 123	5.4	15	5.2	677	2.5	22 652	3.7
Hall -----	54	3.7	3 555	5.5	245 814	5.3	60	3.6	2 380	5.4	77 930	5.1
Hamilton -----	182	2.3	14 491	3.0	1 191 300	3.0	75	3.6	3 018	4.0	80 266	4.6
Harlan -----	226	2.6	26 040	2.8	2 286 545	2.7	252	2.5	34 508	2.5	1 326 468	2.5
Hayes -----	17	5.6	2 127	2.2	124 440	2.3	177	1.6	44 556	1.3	1 389 037	1.3
Hitchcock -----	104	2.1	14 289	1.6	998 878	1.4	273	1.5	69 452	1.3	2 203 373	1.5
Holt -----	17	6.3	2 013	7.3	116 150	11.1	28	4.0	3 966	2.7	115 531	2.5
Hooker -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Howard -----	49	4.4	2 202	6.1	104 519	6.1	95	3.2	2 388	5.2	80 604	5.4
Jefferson -----	451	1.6	76 038	1.4	7 267 020	1.4	329	1.7	25 421	1.8	605 376	1.8
Johnson -----	297	3.1	36 719	3.6	3 214 981	3.7	129	4.0	5 357	3.8	145 875	3.9
Kearney -----	133	2.4	12 080	2.9	999 662	3.2	234	1.8	20 482	1.9	769 263	2.0
Keith -----	13	7.4	867	3.7	38 347	5.3	164	1.6	48 892	1.3	949 397	1.2
Keya Paha -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	16.9	145	16.4	2 940	17.1
Kimball -----	3	16.6	800	12.5	22 000	9.1	225	1.4	105 839	.9	2 239 844	.8
Knox -----	77	3.5	5 169	3.8	258 370	3.8	22	6.1	1 128	5.4	45 631	5.4
Lancaster -----	736	1.1	124 821	1.1	12 200 594	1.2	525	1.2	36 828	1.2	1 059 105	1.2
Lincoln -----	11	6.2	856	5.5	32 268	8.3	227	1.6	38 402	1.2	1 344 347	1.2
Logan -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	27	5.4	4 301	2.3	132 247	2.2
Loup -----	4	18.7	83	20.8	7 812	22.4	2	30.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
McPherson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Madison -----	6	10.7	270	14.0	14 498	15.1	7	11.7	146	14.8	7 450	13.6
Merrick -----	28	4.7	1 075	5.1	70 302	4.6	62	3.2	1 770	3.1	52 934	3.0
Morrill -----	1	36.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	95	2.3	24 859	1.9	697 072	1.9
Nance -----	85	4.5	7 410	5.3	520 138	4.3	108	4.3	4 471	5.4	149 507	4.9
Nemaha -----	135	2.7	12 787	3.2	1 222 868	3.2	184	2.2	10 582	2.2	315 980	2.1
Nuckolls -----	376	2.8	66 263	2.4	5 182 880	2.4	346	2.9	42 983	2.4	1 419 520	2.5
Otoe -----	322	1.7	43 311	1.8	4 102 052	1.8	307	1.7	14 904	1.8	428 274	1.8
Pawnee -----	286	2.1	44 999	1.8	3 796 800	1.8	135	2.4	6 791	2.2	212 424	2.3
Perkins -----	11	5.6	1 334	6.3	58 031	7.7	370	1.0	123 005	.8	3 265 977	.8
Phelps -----	180	1.9	29 523	1.4	2 166 118	1.3	137	2.1	9 806	2.7	359 857	2.7
Pierce -----	5	13.1	122	4.0	11 900	4.2	7	11.5	381	5.5	15 652	4.6
Platte -----	58	5.3	3 324	5.5	273 815	5.7	117	3.9	3 299	4.6	112 702	4.5
Polk -----	222	1.9	25 567	2.2	2 232 709	2.2	66	3.4	1 786	4.4	57 119	4.7
Red Willow -----	130	2.3	19 911	1.8	1 370 159	1.9	271	1.8	66 182	1.4	2 886 773	1.4
Richardson -----	213	3.1	23 477	3.1	2 089 447	3.1	211	3.2	11 517	2.8	371 966	2.6
Rock -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Saline -----	548	2.7	85 515	2.8	7 874 996	2.8	375	3.0	25 528	3.3	468 560	3.2
Sarpy -----	12	9.7	981	13.4	78 304	17.0	20	6.0	410	7.4	13 378	7.6
Saunders -----	217	2.0	24 266	1.7	2 295 955	1.7	201	2.2	5 957	2.3	186 915	2.0
Scotts Bluff -----	3	10.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	59	3.4	12 712	2.4	270 684	2.6
Seward -----	510	1.5	77 705	1.4	7 143 591	1.4	203	2.1	8 387	2.2	246 731	2.1
Sheridan -----	1	33.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	218	2.0	36 383	1.5	929 114	1.8
Sherman -----	72	3.7	4 448	5.0	292 640	5.8	72	3.4	2 488	3.6	85 342	4.2
Sioux -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	30	3.5	3 458	2.9	108 008	2.1
Stanton -----	9	8.1	331	8.6	23 752	7.5	6	10.5	403	4.1	15 639	6.5
Thayer -----	446	1.9	62 557	1.7	5 247 672	1.8	397	2.0	38 412	1.9	989 511	1.8
Thomas -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Thurston -----	3	11.0	73	13.6	5 992	10.8	6	9.8	550	4.7	23 060	4.3
Valley -----	20	7.9	784	10.3	31 470	9.7	44	5.5	1 518	5.5	59 554	4.9
Washington -----	19	6.0	1 192	4.1	116 184	4.2	41	4.2	913	4.4	29 740	4.3
Wayne -----	4	12.5	160	7.8	13 668	8.8	2	23.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Webster -----	232	2.1	34 440	1.8	2 750 583	1.7	257	1.9	36 519	1.6	1 381 960	1.6
Wheeler -----	1	38.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
York -----	323	1.9	37 579	2.2	3 301 411	2.2	81	3.7	2 326	5.1	70 679	4.7
Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Oats for grain						Soybeans for beans					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nebraska -----	5 234	1.6	176 148	1.4	11 341 781	1.4	20 687	1.5	2 274 494	1.1	88 842 343	1.0
Adams -----	31	4.8	702	6.2	43 257	6.1	360	1.5	24 578	1.4	1 009 894	1.4
Antelope -----	135	3.9	3 839	4.1	223 786	4.2	414	2.6	43 666	2.1	1 503 535	2.1
Arthur -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Banner -----	5	11.3	630	3.2	20 498	3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Blaine -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Boone -----	130	2.6	4 824	3.2	319 739	3.5	359	1.8	26 550	1.5	946 087	1.4
Box Butte -----	13	6.3	552	5.4	26 260	5.1	1	33.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Boyd -----	127	4.0	7 103	3.6	401 401	3.6	73	5.0	6 930	4.7	206 485	4.8
Brown -----	4	10.9	415	4.5	23 450	3.4	13	4.6	882	5.2	29 122	4.8

See footnotes at end of table.

C-30 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Oats for grain								Soybeans for beans			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Buffalo -----	53	4.0	970	4.8	50 890	4.8	432	2.1	35 017	1.5	1 422 809	1.4
Burt -----	53	3.5	1 217	5.1	74 583	5.0	441	1.5	91 894	1.2	3 832 451	1.2
Butler -----	72	3.4	1 144	3.8	75 583	4.3	538	1.8	54 607	1.5	2 102 981	1.5
Cass -----	45	5.9	781	8.2	59 902	8.5	485	2.8	99 003	1.9	4 167 652	1.8
Cedar -----	423	3.2	20 704	2.9	1 443 990	2.7	549	2.9	72 289	2.2	2 551 288	2.1
Chase -----	10	7.3	725	2.3	23 425	1.8	2	24.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cherry -----	8	—	748	—	37 275	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cheyenne -----	31	4.2	1 850	6.1	125 503	8.0	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Clay -----	20	7.5	633	5.4	40 008	4.2	358	2.5	33 745	1.7	1 178 546	1.6
Colfax -----	122	2.6	2 913	2.9	213 612	2.9	465	1.9	57 354	1.4	2 222 016	1.4
Cuming -----	149	1.9	2 873	2.4	215 307	2.4	760	.9	86 169	.8	3 615 845	.8
Custer -----	56	3.2	1 833	3.4	79 654	3.0	220	1.8	16 214	1.2	563 230	1.1
Dakota -----	72	3.0	3 902	3.0	291 475	2.9	185	1.8	37 093	1.0	1 556 512	1.1
Dawes -----	61	2.9	2 459	3.2	114 963	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dawson -----	15	6.1	283	6.1	17 900	3.8	208	1.6	13 484	1.2	484 333	1.1
Duel -----	24	3.6	2 159	5.8	126 602	2.3	2	13.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dixon -----	192	2.3	9 312	2.8	663 510	2.8	301	2.1	35 492	1.7	1 371 371	1.7
Dodge -----	53	3.2	907	7.4	67 231	6.2	615	1.1	93 844	.8	3 965 138	.8
Douglas -----	19	6.3	338	10.6	17 567	9.5	183	1.7	25 329	1.7	1 042 065	1.7
Dundy -----	7	9.9	171	12.0	8 235	15.2	10	7.0	1 586	2.8	53 794	3.1
Fillmore -----	38	4.6	717	3.6	47 636	3.6	422	1.5	41 437	1.1	1 783 342	1.1
Franklin -----	11	11.2	262	9.5	16 262	11.3	106	4.0	5 883	3.3	242 056	3.2
Frontier -----	29	5.0	821	3.6	44 414	3.2	38	3.6	2 276	3.4	81 052	3.3
Furnas -----	14	9.7	285	9.1	15 807	8.7	107	3.7	7 752	2.7	314 128	2.8
Gage -----	174	2.2	3 882	3.1	242 855	2.5	671	1.6	57 532	1.3	2 381 461	1.3
Garden -----	28	5.7	1 634	6.6	102 959	4.8	4	14.5	59	6.9	1 516	6.1
Garfield -----	3	16.6	45	22.1	1 790	17.8	6	11.8	398	3.8	8 944	4.7
Gosper -----	6	12.0	129	10.2	6 136	8.5	68	2.9	3 052	2.6	102 787	2.6
Grant -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Greeley -----	14	7.2	371	6.1	19 703	5.8	88	3.0	4 884	2.3	147 934	2.2
Hall -----	15	6.9	210	7.2	10 594	5.2	223	1.9	11 735	2.2	431 005	2.3
Hamilton -----	17	6.3	305	5.9	19 872	8.6	372	1.7	19 658	1.7	754 382	1.7
Harlan -----	28	6.9	941	4.1	40 695	6.0	92	3.2	5 517	2.4	212 664	2.6
Hayes -----	12	6.3	259	4.8	13 340	3.7	12	4.5	1 036	3.4	36 885	2.2
Hitchcock -----	20	4.1	726	1.9	36 668	2.5	34	3.6	1 468	4.1	52 698	4.5
Holt -----	79	2.7	4 765	2.3	288 994	2.6	174	1.9	21 728	.8	801 414	.8
Hooker -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Howard -----	36	5.5	955	8.3	51 822	10.5	150	2.6	6 805	2.7	149 025	2.8
Jefferson -----	98	2.2	2 433	3.1	165 652	2.5	346	1.6	33 078	1.3	1 395 054	1.3
Johnson -----	43	6.3	518	7.2	32 682	7.4	237	3.4	16 055	3.6	593 926	3.7
Kearney -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	245	1.7	17 086	1.5	682 203	1.4
Keith -----	17	4.8	603	4.3	22 480	4.6	13	4.9	1 271	4.2	40 350	4.1
Keya Paha -----	20	5.2	947	3.2	38 066	3.8	5	11.1	443	6.1	6 850	5.8
Kimball -----	6	8.3	379	10.5	8 821	6.8	1	49.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Knox -----	405	1.7	21 759	1.8	1 471 829	1.7	353	2.2	29 598	1.9	1 043 269	1.8
Lancaster -----	139	2.3	2 750	3.1	204 955	3.1	599	1.2	59 691	1.2	2 501 759	1.2
Lincoln -----	26	3.8	875	3.0	47 397	3.2	45	2.7	3 698	3.0	143 361	2.7
Logan -----	6	8.0	481	15.1	36 362	14.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loup -----	5	—	185	—	8 636	—	8	10.7	336	9.5	14 460	8.3
McPherson -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison -----	105	3.0	2 676	3.2	189 081	3.7	503	1.7	69 084	1.4	2 596 109	1.3
Merrick -----	22	4.8	308	3.6	17 725	2.5	248	2.1	16 995	1.8	605 101	1.9
Morrill -----	13	6.0	480	2.4	31 362	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nance -----	38	6.8	709	12.9	42 478	12.7	205	3.2	14 654	2.9	499 883	2.8
Nemaha -----	51	3.8	724	4.0	45 901	4.3	398	1.7	64 329	1.5	2 505 721	1.4
Nuckolls -----	72	4.6	1 625	4.3	99 756	4.6	112	3.5	11 553	2.0	447 718	2.1
Otoe -----	67	3.3	870	3.5	60 204	3.7	570	1.5	76 859	1.4	2 847 708	1.4
Pawnee -----	38	3.9	767	3.7	47 875	3.9	206	2.1	17 614	1.7	638 235	1.7
Perkins -----	21	4.6	970	7.7	35 602	4.8	16	3.8	1 443	3.1	43 051	3.7
Phelps -----	8	7.2	371	1.4	21 685	.8	230	1.7	15 709	1.5	554 151	1.4
Pierce -----	159	3.4	4 404	3.9	270 240	4.1	429	2.5	45 954	2.0	1 659 939	1.9
Platte -----	133	3.8	3 133	3.5	240 619	3.4	718	2.7	84 986	2.2	3 343 760	2.1
Polk -----	31	4.7	588	8.3	37 551	10.0	370	1.5	31 448	1.3	1 290 063	1.3
Red Willow -----	12	7.5	779	9.3	38 638	9.6	56	3.2	3 692	3.7	133 534	4.1
Richardson -----	46	5.8	580	5.2	32 343	4.5	530	2.6	80 222	2.1	2 774 719	2.0
Rock -----	6	11.5	273	9.0	17 838	10.4	7	6.8	970	3.9	30 155	.8
Saline -----	136	4.1	2 455	4.6	172 922	5.0	433	2.8	31 623	2.7	1 328 496	2.7
Sarpy -----	11	9.0	314	19.3	22 070	21.9	247	1.8	35 262	1.9	1 481 921	1.9
Saunders -----	112	2.5	2 016	2.5	137 789	2.5	906	1.8	131 632	1.5	5 079 941	1.4
Scotts Bluff -----	11	5.8	253	4.1	8 195	5.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Seward -----	92	3.2	1 628	4.5	104 510	4.9	489	1.5	44 705	1.2	1 960 652	1.2
Sheridan -----	71	3.0	3 607	4.2	177 929	4.3	4	8.5	330	4.1	10 775	4.4
Sherman -----	41	4.8	875	4.9	46 715	5.1	101	2.7	5 502	2.7	179 365	2.6
Sioux -----	16	6.0	576	8.5	23 916	7.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stanton -----	122	2.4	3 972	2.9	303 223	3.0	329	1.4	32 699	1.4	1 206 157	1.4
Thayer -----	47	4.8	1 270	4.8	61 496	4.5	348	1.9	26 731	1.6	1 091 472	1.6
Thomas -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thurston -----	150	2.3	8 336	1.9	574 393	1.9	281	1.5	43 464	1.2	1 670 052	1.1
Valley -----	19	8.4	552	7.8	27 322	7.1	126	3.3	9 860	2.4	329 832	2.4
Washington -----	112	2.8	2 683	3.5	175 979	3.3	474	1.5	73 030	1.4	3 147 067	1.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Oats for grain						Soybeans for beans					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Wayne -----	197	1.9	5 931	2.3	410 489	2.4	399	1.5	53 739	1.1	1 938 803	1.1
Webster -----	37	4.4	827	4.1	42 358	3.9	75	3.0	5 683	1.8	226 738	1.8
Wheeler -----	9	9.1	208	6.6	10 078	8.4	25	5.0	3 431	3.1	104 461	3.8
York -----	7	8.9	120	11.8	8 900	15.1	456	1.7	32 518	1.5	1 350 277	1.5
Selected crops harvested —Con.												
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)												
Geographic area	Farms			Acres			Quantity			Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry						
Nebraska -----	27 433	1.4	2 895 217	.8	6 068 201	.9						
Adams -----	238	1.8	10 261	2.6	24 987	2.6						
Antelope -----	484	2.6	36 768	2.2	95 226	2.1						
Arthur -----	62	1.5	30 220	.6	40 126	.5						
Banner -----	72	2.1	8 476	2.6	26 978	4.3						
Blaine -----	70	2.2	38 982	.6	55 521	.6						
Boone -----	492	1.8	31 634	1.5	90 060	1.4						
Box Butte -----	166	1.8	17 345	1.8	40 261	1.6						
Boyd -----	278	3.4	44 553	2.9	95 586	3.1						
Brown -----	205	1.3	55 031	.9	68 482	1.0						
Buffalo -----	607	1.7	40 132	1.5	111 276	1.5						
Burt -----	230	1.9	11 323	1.3	42 881	1.3						
Butler -----	413	1.8	14 314	1.8	43 483	1.7						
Cass -----	360	2.8	10 874	2.8	36 487	2.8						
Cedar -----	660	2.9	44 295	2.6	145 365	2.5						
Chase -----	98	1.9	8 138	1.5	23 274	1.8						
Cherry -----	442	.8	331 960	.2	363 544	.2						
Cheyenne -----	175	1.9	12 922	1.4	30 271	1.4						
Clay -----	217	2.9	13 642	1.3	35 622	1.5						
Colfax -----	371	1.9	16 399	1.6	56 127	1.5						
Cuming -----	616	1.0	29 779	1.0	119 882	1.0						
Custer -----	812	1.4	101 074	1.0	254 234	1.0						
Dakota -----	103	2.5	6 401	3.2	21 548	3.1						
Dawes -----	297	1.5	56 796	1.2	89 950	1.2						
Dawson -----	553	1.2	62 546	1.1	230 809	.8						
Deuel -----	49	3.0	2 438	2.6	5 831	2.6						
Dixon -----	308	2.0	14 171	2.0	45 284	2.0						
Dodge -----	332	1.2	11 600	1.1	40 104	1.1						
Douglas -----	136	2.1	5 532	4.2	17 337	3.8						
Dundy -----	149	1.6	18 916	1.5	72 029	1.8						
Fillmore -----	253	2.0	7 313	2.3	19 829	2.3						
Franklin -----	190	3.6	13 271	2.7	26 744	3.6						
Frontier -----	239	1.7	17 412	1.6	48 967	1.5						
Furnas -----	237	3.0	18 003	3.4	62 459	2.9						
Gage -----	637	1.6	27 322	1.6	70 843	1.6						
Garden -----	155	2.3	51 609	.6	79 124	1.2						
Garfield -----	144	3.1	51 148	1.7	50 157	1.8						
Gosper -----	125	2.2	7 568	1.8	24 270	1.8						
Grant -----	55	1.3	47 644	.2	69 647	.2						
Greeley -----	261	1.9	23 972	1.8	60 286	1.7						
Hall -----	298	1.8	20 854	1.9	52 132	1.8						
Hamilton -----	246	1.9	6 018	2.0	17 002	1.7						
Harlan -----	179	2.7	9 622	3.0	31 015	2.9						
Hayes -----	114	1.9	8 136	1.3	26 969	1.4						
Hitchcock -----	152	2.0	7 555	2.3	24 693	1.9						
Holt -----	802	1.6	236 361	1.1	318 856	1.1						
Hooker -----	30	—	12 358	—	16 267	—						
Howard -----	425	2.0	32 447	1.6	83 988	1.5						
Jefferson -----	392	1.6	20 259	1.7	50 610	1.8						
Johnson -----	314	3.0	12 857	3.5	27 424	3.6						
Kearney -----	169	1.9	7 268	2.3	25 590	2.5						
Keith -----	125	1.9	24 301	1.6	53 810	2.0						
Kewa Paha -----	154	1.5	70 724	1.0	89 397	1.0						
Kimball -----	87	2.0	9 065	1.4	21 116	1.8						
Knox -----	786	1.7	84 489	1.7	212 482	1.7						
Lancaster -----	701	1.1	23 560	1.5	58 012	1.8						
Lincoln -----	536	1.2	91 430	1.0	205 624	1.1						
Logan -----	61	3.1	17 541	1.4	25 528	2.4						
Loup -----	109	2.0	32 648	2.2	51 246	1.9						
McPherson -----	76	1.5	29 487	1.0	33 608	.4						
Madison -----	437	1.6	21 830	1.7	71 009	1.8						
Merrick -----	284	2.1	14 740	2.2	34 363	1.9						
Morrill -----	252	1.7	47 905	.7	78 081	1.1						
Nance -----	275	3.1	15 541	3.3	45 205	3.8						
Nemaha -----	262	1.8	7 875	2.1	21 626	2.5						

See footnotes at end of table.

C-32 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Nuckolls -----	335	3.0	18 410	2.9	43 789	2.9
Otoe -----	438	1.4	13 484	1.6	38 696	1.6
Pawnee -----	303	1.8	17 961	2.0	37 258	2.2
Perkins -----	90	1.9	4 770	1.9	13 018	1.4
Phelps -----	232	1.6	10 586	1.4	35 447	1.5
Pierce -----	445	2.5	24 592	2.4	74 088	2.4
Platte -----	516	2.8	22 336	2.3	76 231	2.1
Polk -----	261	1.6	9 701	2.5	29 925	2.0
Red Willow -----	182	2.2	12 158	2.8	48 748	3.0
Richardson -----	340	2.7	11 180	2.6	29 655	2.6
Rock -----	189	1.5	95 520	.8	106 583	.9
Saline -----	424	2.9	14 250	3.2	37 185	3.2
Sarpy -----	166	2.2	5 589	3.4	19 822	3.5
Saunders -----	549	1.9	18 882	1.8	55 166	1.8
Scotts Bluff -----	411	1.7	20 346	2.2	64 722	2.3
Seward -----	429	1.5	12 569	1.6	33 069	1.8
Sheridan -----	441	1.5	111 621	.8	163 702	.9
Sherman -----	341	2.0	34 668	2.2	93 259	2.3
Sioux -----	192	1.4	35 392	1.1	61 051	1.3
Stanton -----	362	1.4	21 173	1.7	70 726	1.6
Thayer -----	315	2.1	11 870	1.9	31 993	1.9
Thomas -----	42	2.3	7 052	.6	10 415	.5
Thurston -----	171	2.0	15 557	1.4	50 806	1.4
Valley -----	312	2.8	30 505	2.7	80 238	3.1
Washington -----	344	1.6	16 348	1.8	57 542	1.9
Wayne -----	404	1.4	24 302	1.3	81 528	1.4
Webster -----	263	1.7	19 532	1.8	46 337	1.8
Wheeler -----	113	2.1	31 463	1.6	47 145	1.6
York -----	266	2.0	6 745	1.6	19 513	1.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

**Table G. State Estimates of the Not on the Mail List Component of Farm Coverage Error:
1992**

[Detail may not add to total due to rounding. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census published farms		Not on mail list ¹		Percent not on mail list ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (percent)	Standard error of percent
Farms ----- number	52 923	1.4	1 448	30.6	2.7	.8
Land in farms ----- acres	44 393 129	.7	318 759	35.1	.7	.2
Average size of farm ----- acres	838.8	1.6	220.2	28.4	(X)	(X)
Farms by size:						
Less than 10 acres -----	3 698	1.5	310	71.2	7.7	5.1
10 to 49 acres -----	4 302	1.4	174	99.4	3.9	3.7
Less than 50 acres -----	8 000	1.4	484	57.7	5.7	3.1
50 acres or more -----	44 923	1.5	964	35.9	2.1	.7
50 to 99 acres -----	3 584	1.7	268	71.0	7.0	4.6
100 to 179 acres -----	5 824	2.0	174	99.7	2.9	2.8
180 acres or more -----	35 515	1.4	522	43.8	1.4	.6
Harvested cropland ----- farms	43 879	1.4	567	45.0	1.3	.6
acres	16 146 818	.9	158 995	46.1	1.0	.4
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 -----	1 979	1.5	137	(H)	6.5	6.1
\$1,000 to \$2,499 -----	1 987	1.5	310	70.7	13.5	8.3
Less than \$2,500 -----	3 966	1.4	447	57.8	10.1	5.3
\$2,500 or more -----	48 957	1.5	1 001	36.1	2.0	.7
\$2,500 to \$9,999 -----	6 685	1.6	135	98.6	2.0	1.9
\$10,000 or more -----	42 272	1.5	866	38.7	2.0	.8
Market value of agricultural products sold ---\$1,000 ---	8 209 691	.5	102 913	48.7	1.2	.7
Farms by standard industrial classification:						
Crops (01) -----	27 687	1.5	555	45.8	2.0	.9
Livestock (02) -----	25 236	1.3	892	40.4	3.4	1.3
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family -----	44 577	1.4	1 120	35.6	2.5	.8
Partnership or corporation -----	8 034	1.4	20	89.4	.2	.2
Other -----	312	2.2	-	(X)	-	(X)
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners -----	21 477	1.5	935	38.4	4.2	1.5
Part owners and tenants -----	31 446	1.4	205	85.4	.6	.5
Part owners -----	21 030	1.2	2	(H)	(L)	(L)
Tenants -----	10 416	1.9	202	86.3	1.9	1.6
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated -----	36 444	1.3	608	48.4	1.6	.8
Not on farm operated -----	12 539	1.8	396	58.3	3.1	1.7
Not reported -----	3 940	1.3	444	53.8	10.1	4.9
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming -----	39 123	1.4	405	57.4	1.0	.6
Other -----	13 800	1.6	734	43.9	5.1	2.1
Operators by sex:						
Male -----	50 681	1.4	1 243	33.4	2.4	.8
Female -----	2 242	1.6	205	74.8	8.4	5.7
Operators by race:						
White -----	52 798	1.4	1 140	35.0	2.1	.7
Black and other races -----	125	3.2	-	(X)	-	(X)
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less -----	5 694	2.3	627	46.8	9.9	4.2
5 years or more -----	38 575	1.4	242	77.3	.6	.5
Average years on present farm -----	21.3	2.0	6.0	63.8	(X)	(X)
Not reported -----	8 654	1.4	579	47.4	6.3	2.8
Average age of operator -----	50.7	2.0	48.3	9.6	(X)	(X)

Note: These estimates do not account for incorrectly classified farms or farms appearing more than once in the census and are subject to change in the 1992 Coverage Evaluation publication. See appendix C text for further explanation.

¹Estimates are based on a sample survey conducted independently of census data collection.